UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MICHAEL KINGLSEY,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 10-CV-832-BBC

STAN HENDRICKSON and FRITZ DEGNER,

Madison, Wisconsin October 15, 2012

1:14 p.m.

Defendants.

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF SECOND DAY OF JURY TRIAL AFTERNOON SESSION HELD BEFORE DISTRICT JUDGE BARBARA B. CRABB, and a jury

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Merchant & Gould

BY: EDWARD PARDON WENDY WARD JOEL GRAHAM

10 East Doty Street, Ste. 600

Madison, Wisconsin 53703

For the Defendants: Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek

BY: ANDREW JONES

TIMOTHY POSNANSKI

One East Main Street Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Lynette Swenson RMR, CRR, CBC
Federal Court Reporter
U.S. District Court 120 N. Henry St., Rm. 520
Madison, WI 53703 (608) 255-3821

		.p		2-P	
		I-N-D-E-X			
PLAINTI	FF'S WIT	NESSES	EXAMINATION	PAGES	
ROBERT	CONROY	Cross by Mr.	Cont'd direct by Mr. Jones Cross by Mr. Pardon Redirect by Mr. Jones		
BRIAN L	ANDERS	Direct by Mr Cross by Mr.	. Pardon Jones	50-113 113-161	
		E-X-H-I-B-I-	T-S		
PLAINTI	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS			IDENTIFIED/RECEIVED	
	9 10 12 14	DAAT Manual Monroe Co. policy Hendrickson repor Video		69 95 88	
	43 50 67	Landers report Jail policy		 104 60	
DEFENDA	NTS' EXH	<u>IBITS</u>			
Exhibit	509B 512 513 514 517 520	Photo - cell Shift log Log Medical notes POSC '09 Manual Video	26 38 35 40 128 	27 39 35 297 21	

(Continuation of jury trial - afternoon session)

THE COURT: Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: On the handcuffing issue, as I understand it, the point is just to show how one might double lock a set of handcuffs, which I don't think we have a problem with that. But I do have a concern that the jury needs to be told that what they're seeing is, and maybe not in these words, how you would double lock a set of handcuffs, all things being equal in a perfect situation. And it's not apples to apples with what was occurring in the cell when Mr. Kingsley was being handcuffed.

THE COURT: Yeah, and I think that would be most appropriately brought out by you on cross-examination.

MR. JONES: I certainly will.

THE COURT: I don't think it's appropriate for me to say that. You know, if you want me to say something like these are not the same -- we don't know whether these are the same kinds of handcuffs that were used.

MR. JONES: I don't know that it's an issue in terms of handcuffs A versus handcuffs B. It's just that it's a completely different circumstance.

THE COURT: But I think that part should be

```
brought out by you.
 1
 2
             MR. JONES: We had talked about a particular
 3
    instruction. You had suggested it, Ed.
             MR. PARDON: Yeah. I mean if the Judge was
 5
    going to give an instruction, I would hope it would be
    something very neutral like this is not necessarily the
 6
 7
    situation that happens in a jail. You know, we also can
 8
    illustrate -- I mean I'm sure Mr. Landers can also
 9
    explain that as well. I mean I understand their
10
    concern.
             THE COURT: All right. If you would bring in
11
12
    the jury.
13
         (Jury drought in courtroom at 1:15 p.m.)
14
         (Lieutenant Conroy resumes witness stand)
15
             THE CLERK: This Honorable Court is again in
    session. Please be seated and come to order.
16
             THE COURT: Mr. Jones.
17
18
             MR. JONES: Thank you, Judge.
19
                 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
   BY MR. JONES:
21
        Lieutenant, we have again up on the screen the
22
   video from the cellblock, and I'm going to ask
23
   Mr. Posnanski to go ahead and start playing it.
24
         (Video played)
25
         So who is it that's coming down the cellblock at
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
this point?
 1
 2
         That's me.
   Α
 3
         What are you doing here?
 4
         Somebody in the first cell asked me a question and
 5
   I stopped to answer the question before I made my way
   down the rest of the block.
 6
 7
         Who's at the cellblock behind you at the other end?
 8
         I'm not sure. Whoever was manipulating the doors
 9
   or closing the doors for me at that point.
10
         So where are you positioned -- in front of which
    cell are you positioned now?
11
12
         Currently positioned in front of Mr. Kingsley's
13
   cell. Directly in front of me is the sliding cell door.
   To the left of that is the bars, and that's where his
14
   head is, right next to those bars.
16
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, would you say that
17
   again?
18
             THE WITNESS: Right next to the bars on the
    left side of the sliding door is where Mr. Kingsley's
19
20
   head is.
21
             THE COURT: Should we be able to see that? See
22
   his head?
   BY MR. JONES:
23
24
        Can you see his head?
    Q
25
         You cannot.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

THE COURT: Okay. 2 The way the position of the bars are or the way of 3 the camera. 4 So before I start playing it again, is he directly 5 on the other side of the bars from you? 6 Α Correct. 7 And his head is closer to the bars? 8 Α Correct. 9 So can you tell us what's occurring at this point? 10 I'm trying to reason with Mr. Kingsley, say hey, you know, can you just take the paper down? 11 12 understand, you know, that you were told to take it 13 down. I think at one point I even motioned up there. 14 Mr. Kingsley came back and said he did not put it 15 there. He was, under no uncertain terms, said he was 16 not going to take it down and told me that I could take 17 it down. 18 What are you looking up at? The light. Pointing at the light and looking up at 19 20 it. 21 How many times during this sequence did you ask him 22 to take it down? 23 The entire time we were talking to him about it I 24 was trying to get him to comply and he kept saying he

had not done anything wrong. He didn't put it up there.

ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

25

2-P-.7

```
It was there before he got in the cell. He wasn't going
 1
 2
   to take it down.
 3
         So before we stopped the video, a white screen came
 4
    on. It's gone now. I'll ask you about it again when we
 5
    start up again.
 6
   Α
         Okay.
 7
         It's your testimony that he never agreed to take
 8
   the light or the paper off the light; is that correct?
 9
         It's my testimony that he stated numerous times he
   Α
10
   was not going to take it down.
         You also testified that he mentioned that he wasn't
11
12
   the one who put it up; correct?
13
   Α
        Correct.
14
         And I think you answered in response to one of
   Mr. Pardon's questions that you didn't -- you didn't
15
16
   disbelieve him at that point; correct?
17
         I didn't disbelieve him, no.
18
         Did it matter to you whether or not he had put it
19
   up there?
20
             The whole purpose was just to get him to take
         No.
21
   it down.
22
         And did he tell you while you were in front of his
   cell that he felt he was too short to take it down and
23
   that it might present a danger to him to do that?
24
```

No, I don't recall that. The light is -- I mean ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

25

Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-P-8

you could stand on the bunk and touch the light. right -- centered in the cell right there. You could stand on the bunk and touch it, so ... If he had said that to you, what would you have done? I would have done probably the same thing I did, was "hey, we'll get you out of the cell and I'll take it down, " because I said that at one point. That's ultimately what you told him would happen; correct? Yes. And did he respond to that statement from you? I told him in order for that -- in order for us to do that, that we would have to move him to receiving for a couple different reasons; one, to serve as disciplinary sanctions that he was currently sitting, and the other, so I could go in and do that. Mr. Kingsley was not going to cooperate. What do you mean by the last part of your answer, Mr. Kingsley was not going to cooperate? He was not going to cooperate with the move from the cell he's currently here in on the video to the receiving cell to serve that disciplinary sanction for failure to follow orders. Is that what you're saying he said?

A That's what he was saying all along, that he wasn't going to cooperate.

Q Why, if you were going to take the paper down yourself, why would it be necessary to move him from the cell?

A At least get him out of that cell was the purpose. I wasn't going to go in there; that's the way we're trained. You don't go into a cell with an inmate in there.

Q And why would it be necessary, given what had happened up until that point, that he be put somewhere to serve out a discipline?

A Well, we had to get in there and get that off the light. It had already been since the night prior, so...

Q Maybe I asked a bad question. Why would it be necessary for him to serve any sort of discipline as a result of what had happened?

A For failure to follow directions of jail staff, which is in the rule book in the jail. It's for safety and security of the jail. If you go in and -- you know, it's a safety and security issue. You're telling somebody to do something, they don't do it, and you don't do anything about it, it tends to spread throughout the blocks. Then all of a sudden everybody and nobody is doing anything. So it's for the security ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Deputy Degner is behind him.

2-P-10

of the facility. So I believe you testified that you then left the cellblock and told the other officers that it was going to be necessary to come back in and move Mr. Kingsley? Correct. Α And that's what happened; correct? Α Yes. Why was it necessary for a group of officers to assist in moving Mr. Kingsley? One, for safety purposes. That's the way we're trained. A show of presence sometimes gets people to comply. So we have the presence and the dialogue, and at times that does get people to comply. I'm going to go back to the video. Now the video is playing, but we're seeing this screen. What is this screen? That is the GeoVision screen I spoke about earlier where it would show to fill in the time. You see at the bottom the time is still going, 5:21:10, so that's filling in the blank space where there was not adequate enough movement for it to record to the hard drive. So what are we watching at that point? That's the second entry at 6:38. The first to enter is Sergeant Hendrickson, then Deputy Blanton and

ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

Sergeant Hendrickson is

trying to get him to come up to the door and back up to 1 2 the door so he can be handcuffed at this point. Now there are two officers at the other end of the 3 4 hallway, the walkway. Who are those two individuals? 5 That would be Sergeant Shisler and myself. 6 Could you hear what was being said by the officers 7 in front of the cell from where you were? 8 I could hear what the officers were saying. could tell that Mr. Kingsley was saying stuff, but I 9 10 can't really make out what he was saying at this point. And what did you hear -- I'll back up. Who was 11 12 doing the talking at that point? 13 Α Sergeant Hendrickson at this point. 14 What you did you hear him saying? 15 To stand up and back up to the bars so he could get 16 handcuffed. There's a pass through there, an open area 17 in the bars where you can stick your hands through and you can actually get handcuffed through the bars. 18 THE COURT: So at this point, it's not clear to 19 20 me, at this point the door to Mr. Kingsley's cell is closed; is that correct? 21 22 THE WITNESS: Correct. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 BY MR. JONES: 25

Are the doors to all of the cells closed at this ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

```
point?
 1
 2
   Α
         They are.
 3
         And those doors were first closed when you
 4
   originally came into the cellblock a few minutes before
 5
   this?
 6
   Α
         All except for Mr. Kingsley's. His was closed
 7
   because he was on -- serving the discipline in here.
 8
         Okay. Let me back up. When would Mr. Kingsley's
 9
   cell door have been closed pursuant to that original
10
   discipline?
         Before I arrived his door was closed.
11
12
         Okay. And the other inmates' doors were open --
        Correct.
13
   Α
         -- before you arrived?
14
15
         Yeah, I believe so, yes.
16
         And then when you first came onto the cellblock,
   the part of the video we saw originally, that's when
17
   everyone else's doors were closed?
18
19
   Α
         Yes.
20
         And at that point again all the doors were closed?
21
         Correct.
   Α
22
         You were talking about what Sergeant Hendrickson
23
   was saying to Mr. Kingsley. Am I correct in
24
   understanding that Sergeant Hendrickson would have been
25
    able to put the cuffs on from this side, from his side
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
of the closed cell door if Mr. Kingsley had backed up to
 1
 2
   the cell door?
         There's an opening big enough to fit a tray through
 3
   for food and it's, I don't know, 4 inches by 12 inches.
 4
 5
    So when you put your hands up there, you can reach
 6
   through and put handcuffs on, the officer can do that,
 7
   yes.
 8
         Without opening the cell door.
 9
         Correct.
   Α
10
         Okay. We'll start the video again. Now at a point
   a few seconds before where we stopped, a light started
11
12
   to shine on the floor; correct?
13
   Α
        Yes.
14
         Do you know what that light is from?
15
         The light is from the taser that Deputy Degner was
16
   holding.
         Okay. And from where the light is does that tell
17
18
   us anything about where the taser was pointed?
         Towards the floor.
19
20
         That's because the light is shown in the direction
21
   the taser is being pointed?
22
   Α
         Correct.
23
         And it's Officer Degner with the taser?
24
         Correct.
   Α
25
         I'd like you to watch that light while the video
```

```
1
   plays.
 2
         (Video played)
 3
         Okay. So we stopped the video, and what's
 4
   occurring at this point?
 5
         The door to Mr. Kingsley's cell is opening.
 6
         At any point between -- at any point in the video
 7
   as we've watched the light, did you see that light being
 8
   pointed into the cell?
 9
    Α
         No.
10
         At any point while we watched that video did you
    see the light being pointed at Mr. Kingsley?
11
12
   Α
         No.
         So if the light wasn't pointed at Kingsley, was the
13
14
   taser pointed at Mr. Kingsley at any point before the
   cell door opened?
15
16
   Α
         No.
             MR. PARDON: Objection.
17
18
             THE COURT: What was your objection?
             MR. PARDON: We're asking a witness to describe
19
20
   a video, not necessarily what the witness witnessed.
21
             THE COURT: That's a good point. You can ask
22
   the question in terms of what he remembers.
23
   BY MR. JONES:
24
        At any point before the cell door was opened did
25
    you see Officer Degner point the taser at Mr. Kingsley?
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
No.
 1
   Α
 2
         So I'm going to move ahead a little. What happened
 3
   after the cell door was opened?
 4
         Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Blanton entered the
 5
   cell. Deputy Degner went to the doorway, I went around
 6
   to where I could observe him, and him being Mr. Kingsley
 7
    lying on the bunk.
         And where I stopped the video, at this point are
 8
 9
   you shown on the screen?
10
         I believe that's me just to the left. Would be --
        Front left?
11
    Q
12
   Α
        Front left, yes.
13
    Q
         And could you see inside the cell at this point?
14
   Α
        Yes.
15
         And I want to be clear on what you saw or didn't
16
   see when you were looking -- I'll back up. Were you
    looking inside the cell as the officers went in to
17
   handcuff Mr. Kingsley?
18
19
    Α
         Yes.
20
         And I want to be clear on what you saw or didn't
21
   see. Could you see anything relating to Mr. Kingsley's
```

physical movements during that time period?

I saw his arms -- after I had told him to put his

ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

And what did you see?

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
arms behind his back, which I did at one point, he put
  them kind of at the side of his buttocks, at the outer
  side of his buttocks. I could see that. I could see
4
  the tension in his arms. That's what I could see.
       And did he move his arms from your observation when
  the officers went in to handcuff him?
       No, he kept them straight.
       So he kept them at his sides, not together behind
  his back?
  Α
       Correct.
            THE COURT: Who is coming down the hallway?
            THE WITNESS: I believe that's Sergeant Shisler
  leaving.
            THE COURT: Oh, okay.
            THE WITNESS: Going back out.
  BY MR. JONES:
       Why don't we go ahead and start the video again
  from this spot.
       (Video played)
       So ultimately the officers did get the handcuffs on
  Mr. Kingsley; correct?
  Α
       Correct.
       And what occurred next?
       They told him to stand. Deputies told him to
  Α
  stand. He refused to stand.
                                 Stated it was his -- his
                   ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

foot hurt. They tried to inquire what was wrong with his foot. He wouldn't answer. So at that point they proceeded to carry him out of the cell. From your observation as you were looking in the cell, did you see anything happen to injure Mr. Kingsley's foot as the officers put the handcuffs on him? Α No. You said that the officers asked him what was wrong with his foot? During this whole time from the time we entered at 6:38 until we left the receiving cell, when I asked everybody to leave and leave the handcuffs on several times, multiple times we asked him what was wrong with his foot. He would not answer. And specifically in the cell before he was removed from the cell, did he give -- did the officers ask him what was wrong with his foot? Α Yes. Did Mr. Kingsley at any point before he was removed from his original cell answer that question to say what was wrong with his foot? The only thing I ever recall Mr. Kingsley saying about his foot is "my foot, my foot."

And physically how was it -- who was it that ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

carried Mr. Kingsley out of the cell? 1 2 Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy Blanton carried him 3 out of the cell. How did they carry him? 4 5 I believe it was under his arms, and Mr. Kingsley's 6 feet were kind of up. He wouldn't straighten them out. 7 He kept them up. 8 So what are we seeing as this video plays now? 9 That is Sergeant Shisler all the way down. Then Α 10 Deputy Blanton, Sergeant Hendrickson, they're carrying Mr. Kingsley. I'm following behind, and then Deputy 11 12 Degner is behind me and he has the taser on it looks like. 13 14 So it's about 6:43 when you have him out of the 15 cellblock? 16 Α Correct. So what happened once he was out in the hallway? 17 18 Once he was out in the hallway, we laid him out on 19 the floor. He was laying there face down. We asked him 20 again what's wrong with your foot. I asked him. Other 21 officers asked him. He wouldn't respond. Just groaned 22 and like u-r-r-r kind of sounds. Didn't sound like 23 painful sounds, but it was like a growl kind of. 24 At any point in the hallway did he explain what was 25 wrong with his foot and why he was unable to walk?

```
We asked him several times. He wouldn't
 1
   Α
 2
   answer us.
 3
         I'd like to play another section of video for you.
 4
         (Video played)
 5
         So what advantage point do we have here?
 6
        This is from the main office of the jail looking
 7
   down that main hallway. You're actually looking north
 8
   down that hallway.
         So looking back to that diagram we looked at
 9
   earlier, it's from the bottom of the diagram up to the
10
   top of the diagram?
11
12
   Α
        Correct.
        And there's audio on this recording; correct?
13
14
   Α
        There is.
15
         So what happened from this point forward out in the
16
   hallway?
        Mr. Kingsley still wouldn't cooperate, wouldn't
17
18
   talk to us. We kept asking him -- I think there was
   three of us, at least three of us that were asking him
19
20
    which foot, what was wrong with his foot. He wouldn't
   answer. At that point, we decided to pick him up and
21
22
   carry him to receiving. So there would have been two
23
   people at his shoulders, and then I was at his left leg
   and Sergeant Shisler was at his right leg.
24
25
            MR. PARDON: Excuse me, Your Honor. May I
```

```
approach the bench for a moment?
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Sure.
         (Discussion at side bar at 1:38 a.m.)
 3
             MR. PARDON: I'm very sorry. I don't want to
 5
    interrupt. But I don't recall this being on our
    exhibit.
 6
             THE COURT: This particular video?
             MR. PARDON: This video.
 9
             MR. JONES: It was on your exhibit. If not,
10
    I'll introduce it through our --
11
             MR. PARDON: Have I seen this video before?
             MR. JONES: Sure, you have. It was produced
12
13
    and it's on the copy of the disk that we gave you as our
14
    exhibit. It was produced in discovery.
15
             MR. PARDON: Well, I'm not aware of that, but
16
    I'm not going to argue now in front of the jury now that
   the thing is here. But I guess why don't you -- why
17
    don't you, when you're done, move to admit this. I'm
18
    sorry, if I'm mistaken I'm mistaken, but I don't ever
19
20
   recall this being on anything. So I mean I'll believe
21
    you.
22
             MR. JONES:
                        Okay.
23
             MR. PARDON: Thank you.
24
         (End of side bar discussion at 1:40 p.m.)
25
             THE COURT:
                         You may resume.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
(video continued to be played)
 2
   BY MR. JONES:
 3
         So you're making a turn here, a right turn from the
 4
   officer's perspective, and you're going where?
 5
         Correct. We're going into the receiving area, the
 6
   area just outside the cells in the receiving area.
 7
         (Video played)
         The video recordings that we've seen so far, those
 8
 9
   are recordings that you have preserved off of the
10
   recording system at the jail?
         They're copies of, yes.
11
         And do they accurately depict what occurred in the
12
   cellblock and in the main hallway of the jail that
13
14
   morning?
15
         They do.
   Α
16
             MR. JONES: I'd move the admission of those two
   videos as Exhibit 520, Your Honor.
17
18
             MR. PARDON: No objection.
             THE COURT: Received.
19
20
   BY MR. JONES:
        Earlier when Mr. Pardon was asking you questions,
21
22
   we saw a recording of Mr. Kingsley then being carried
23
   through the entryway of the receiving area of the jail;
24
   correct?
25
    Α
         Yes.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

And you were the officer carrying Mr. Kingsley or 1 2 supporting him at his left leg; correct? 3 Correct. Α 4 As you were carrying Mr. Kingsley into the 5 receiving cell, what, if any, observations did you make 6 about the positioning of the handcuffs? 7 The positioning of the handcuffs at all? Yes. That they were tight, and by tight I mean the chain 10 was taunt and that the one handcuff was kind of up towards the middle of his hand. His arms were pulling 12 them apart and the one handcuff was up, kind of leaning towards the middle of his hand. And what, if anything, did the positioning of that one handcuff tell you about how tight or loose they 15 16 were? It was actually a little loose to be in that 17 18 position. If I understood your testimony from this morning, you remained outside of the receiving cell when the officers went in with Mr. Kingsley? I stood in the doorway there. I think Deputy Degner was behind me for a minute, but I was in the 23 24 doorway. Then when he went in, I kind of actually 25 backed out a step. So I was just outside the doorway,

ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

8

9

11

13

14

19

20

21

22

```
1
   yes.
 2
        And did you stay in the doorway for the remainder
 3
   of what happened in the receiving cell?
 4
         Yes.
 5
         I think from your testimony earlier, I think this
 6
   is clear but I want to be sure. Could you see
 7
   everything that was occurring in the receiving cell from
 8
   your advantage point?
        No. I couldn't see everything, no.
 9
   Α
10
        And why was that?
        It was blocked by deputies. Their bodies were
11
   actually blocking my view. I'd have to look in between
12
   them.
13
        You testified earlier that when the officers put
14
   Mr. Kingsley into the receiving cell, they then
16
   attempted to take the handcuffs off; correct?
17
   Α
        Correct.
         Why were the officers attempting to remove the
18
   handcuffs at that time?
19
20
        We're trained never to leave somebody in a cell by
   themselves with the handcuffs on, especially behind
21
22
   their back because they could injure themselves. If
23
   they were to fall, they couldn't catch themselves;
24
   really serious injury could come of it. So that's the
25
    way we're trained.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
Have you ever left someone in a cell with handcuffs
 1
 2
   on before this incident?
        I have in a holding cell where, you know, there's
 3
 4
   an officer in there and they're being prepared for
 5
   transport I have, but never in a cell by themselves, no.
        You were asked some questions about what you saw or
 6
 7
    didn't see Mr. Kingsley doing in the receiving cell?
 8
   Α
        Yes.
 9
        And I think you testified that you could not see if
10
   he tried to bite Sergeant Hendrickson; correct?
11
        Correct.
12
        Do you know one way or the other whether he tried
13
   to bite Sergeant Hendrickson?
14
         Just off the conversation that Sergeant Hendrickson
   and I had.
15
16
        I'm going off of what you could or could not see in
   the receiving cell yourself.
17
        I do not know.
18
   Α
        Is it possible that he did?
19
20
   Α
        Yes.
21
             MR. PARDON: Objection.
22
             THE COURT: Sustained. The jury will disregard
23
   that.
24
   BY MR. JONES:
25
                                What were the officers
         Could -- strike that.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

saying, if anything, to Mr. Kingsley as they were trying 1 2 to remove the handcuffs? Multiple times "Stop resisting. Just relax" was 3 4 said on a number of occasion. I think "Mike, I'm going 5 to -- this is the last time. Stop resisting. Stop 6 resisting." 7 Did you hear Mr. Kingsley make any -- did you hear 8 Mr. Kingsley say anything? 9 Α Yes. 10 And what did you hear him say? "Just leave the handcuffs on and get the fuck out," 11 12 something to that effect. Did you hear him make any sounds as the officers 13 14 were trying to handcuff him? Like an angry sound, like a g-r-r-r, you know, like 15 16 a growl-type sound. Aggressive sound. Did you ever hear Mr. Kingsley say, while this was 17 going on in the receiving cell, that he was in pain? 18 19 Α No. 20 Did you ever hear him say while this was going on 21 in the receiving cell that he could not comply with what 22 the officers were asking him to do? 23 No. 24 As you were watching what was occurring in the 25 receiving cell, did you have any concerns as the senior

officer on the scene about what was happening?

A The only concern I had at the point was the possibility of injury.

Q And what concern, if any, did you have on that point?

A Well, everything in that cell is concrete. From what I could see with Mr. Kingsley's movements, I could see him -- his upper body going back and forth, kind of like he was trying to, you know, I don't know, break a grasp or something to get around the officers' control measures. You could see him lift his torso in an effort to push away from the bunk, you know, kind of -- I was worried. You know, he could have rolled. He could have hit his head. There's a two-inch metal lip on the side of that bunk that somebody could have gotten hurt on.

Officers could have -- Sergeant Hendrickson, at the time Sergeant Hendrickson could have -- you know, he had his leg up there. His leg could have came down, you know, and hit that metal bar along the side of the bunk. It sticks up about two inches. The whole cell is concrete.

Q I'd like to show you a different exhibit, Exhibit 509B. Do you recognize what's depicted in Exhibit 509B?

A I do. That's a receiving cell in the Monroe County Jail.

```
And is that the receiving cell Mr. Kingsley was put
 1
   Q
 2
   in?
 3
         Yes.
   Α
 4
         Do all the receiving cells look alike?
 5
         There's one that the toilet is in a different
 6
   position, but they generally would look the same, yes.
 7
         Okay. I think there's a second page to this
   exhibit. What does Exhibit 509B show?
 8
 9
        That's a little closer of that cell, with the sink,
10
   the toilet, the bunk. The metal lip is that gray area
   on the shelf above there.
11
12
        Does it accurately depict the inside of a receiving
13
    cell in the Monroe County Jail?
14
   Α
       It does.
15
             MR. JONES: I move for the admission of Exhibit
16
    509B, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Any objection?
17
18
             MR. PARDON: No objection.
19
             MR. JONES:
                        May I publish it to the jury?
20
             THE COURT: You may.
21
   BY MR. JONES:
22
         So again, the concrete bunk in the cell, where is
23
   that depicted here?
24
         It's on the left side, lower left side. You can
25
    see a little lip there towards the back of the cell.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
That lip goes all the way around. That's where the
 1
 2
   mattress goes so it doesn't slide off. But that's the
 3
   bunk.
        And the walls and the floor, what are they made out
 4
 5
   of?
         It's all concrete.
 6
   Α
 7
        And the material that the sink and the toilet are
 8
   encased in, what is that?
 9
         That's concrete.
   Α
10
        Mr. Kingsley did not punch anyone during this
   incident, did he?
11
12
   Α
         No.
        He didn't actually kick anyone during the incident,
13
   did he?
14
         No.
15
   Α
16
        And he never verbally said he was going to hurt
   anybody, did he?
17
18
   Α
         No.
         So can you explain why still there was a concern in
19
20
   your mind of a risk of injury?
21
         Well, as I said before, you know, it's not only a
22
   risk to the injury of the officers, but a risk of injury
23
   to Mr. Kingsley as well. He could have rolled into that
24
   concrete wall. He could have hit his bunk or could have
25
                               He could have rolled off.
   hit his head on the bunk.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You can see -- and I witnessed during that time as Sergeant Hendrickson was trying to stabilize Mr. Kingsley, you can see him adjusting his movements so that, you know, based on Mr. Kingsley's movements, he's trying to adjust his. He's standing on one leg. could have fallen. He could have, you know, been hurt. Mr. Kingsley could have started kicking, you know. There's a variety of different injuries that could have occurred. And in the video that Mr. Pardon played for you or for us, where you were standing outside the receiving cell and then we heard the audio of what was going on, do you remember that video? Yes. Α At some point during that video you made mention of something you called the chair? Α Yes. Can you tell us exactly what you were referring to? A chair in that aspect is something we use. called a restraint chair. And you sit down on it, the back and seat are padded. But in order to use that, your arms are restrained to the arms of the chair and your legs are restrained to the chair. It's for, I quess, uncontrollable subjects at that point and suicidal subjects as well as, you know, to prevent

```
people from criminal damage to property, that kind of
 1
 2
   thing.
 3
        Can you put someone who is in handcuffs behind
 4
   their back in the restraint chair that you have at the
 5
    jail?
   A
 6
        No, you'd have to remove them.
             THE COURT: Remove the handcuffs you're saying.
             THE WITNESS: Correct.
 8
 9
        And once the person is in the chair, how are their
10
   arms restrained?
         I believe they're nylon straps.
11
12
        Where are they restrained in the chair?
13
        They're restrained one on each wrist; one that goes
   around the ankles or each ankle; there's one that goes
14
   over the belt and then it crisscrosses over the
15
16
   shoulders. So you're sitting there kind of like this.
   (Indicating)
17
        So their hands have to be free and unrestrained in
18
   order to put them in the chair.
19
20
   Α
        Correct.
        You mentioned the chair during this incident in the
21
22
   receiving cell. You never put him in the chair, did
23
   you?
24
   Α
        No.
25
        Why not?
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-P-31

```
Because for that short period of time I thought it
Α
might have been an option. It just became not an option
when we couldn't get the handcuffs off.
    Now I think at some point you testified that you
directed that the officers stop trying to remove the
handcuffs?
     Correct.
     And you directed them that you were just -- they
were going to exit the cell and leave the handcuffs on;
yes?
Α
     Yes.
     And there was some questions about a comment you
made that you said was, in essence, to the camera. Do
you remember that?
     Yes.
Α
     And that was a reference that you made to this not
being punishment?
Α
    Yes.
     When you said it's not a punishment, what were you
referring to?
     I wanted it on record that this is not a
punishment. Handcuffs are never to be used as a
punishment. Leaving somebody in a cell with handcuffs
on is never to be done as a punishment. It's in our
policy that handcuffs are not to be used as a
```

```
punishment, and I wanted that to be clear.
 1
 2
         Were you leaving Mr. Kingsley in the receiving cell
 3
    with handcuffs on as a punishment or not?
 4
         No.
 5
         Were you referring at all to the use of the taser
 6
    when you made the comment about this is not a
 7
    punishment?
 8
    Α
         No.
 9
         Was there any force from your observation that was
10
    used once you gave the order for the officers to leave
    the receiving cell?
11
              There was no force used.
12
         No.
         And how long did Mr. Kingsley then stay in the
13
    receiving cell with the handcuffs on?
14
         Approximately 12 minutes.
15
    Α
16
         And during those 12 minutes was he monitored at
    all?
17
18
    Α
         He was.
19
    0
         How?
20
         By video in the jail office stream of this video,
21
    continuous stream. Whether it's recorded or not, you
22
    can live view it from the office.
23
         And who was watching him?
24
         I was. Excuse me. I was.
    Α
25
         And what did you observe in those 12 minutes?
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Kingsley's body.

2-P-33

```
One movement where he actually rolled to his left.
Α
Minor movements other than that; whether it be his
fingers or something, but they were really minor.
     And at some point then, somebody went back to the
receiving cell?
Α
    Correct. There was four of us.
    Who went back?
     Deputy Bentinbau (ph), Deputy Tom Wilds, Deputy
Blanton, and myself.
     Why were different officers used or why did
different officers go back to the receiving cell than
were originally in the cell?
     Shift changes at seven o'clock was one reason and
we had different officers there. For another reason, I
thought it would be better that different officers went
back there and tried to de-escalate the situation.
     Why did you think that would be better?
    At times sometimes when different officers go in, a
different rapport is generated between two people. So I
thought I would give it a chance.
    And what happened when you went back to remove the
handcuffs?
     Deputy Bentinbau went back and he secured the legs.
Deputy Tom Wilds secured the upper part of
```

ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

I took the handcuffs off, and I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-P-34

believe Deputy Blanton came in, as I was taking the handcuffs off, and secured Mr. Kingsley's right hand as I was taking the other handcuff off his left land. Did anything else occur or was anything else important in terms of removing the handcuffs? Α Mr. Kingsley was still resisting. He still had resistive tension in his arms. He still had clenched fists, so he was still resistive. But you were able to get the cuffs off? Α Yes. After the cuffs were removed, was Mr. Kingsley monitored at all from that point forward? He was monitored by video. He was placed on an administrative watch, 15-minute watch, and then I think later that day that was changed to a half-an-hour watch. The nurse was contacted and the nurse came and checked on him as well. What was the purpose of putting him on a 15 and then a 30-minute watch? Just to observe him, to watch his actions. And how long did that continue? The 15-minute watch I believe lasted until -- I don't think it was quite 10:30 in the morning. And the 30 -- then it went from a 15-minute to a 30-minute watch, and then it continued for awhile. I'm not sure

exactly how long. 1 2 I'd like to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 3 513. Can you tell us what Exhibit 513 is? 4 This is a -- this is a watch log, one of the logs 5 that we use in the jail when somebody is on a watch. 6 This is -- what you have to do in this case, depending 7 on if it's a 15, 30, 45-minute watch, at least in that 8 many increments -- if he's on a 15-minute watch, at least once every 15 minutes you have to go eyes on where 9 10 you're actually seeing him. There's nothing in the way. The rest of the time it's on video, but at least every 11 12 15 minutes you'd have to actually be there to actually 13 physically see him. And then if it was 30 minutes, every 30 minutes you 14 15 would have to actually be there. So what you do is you 16 document the time, who did it, and a code, which is 17 basically what you saw at that time. MR. JONES: I move the admission of Exhibit 18 513. 19 20 MR. PARDON: No objection. 21 THE COURT: Received. 22 MR. JONES: Permission to publish the exhibit. 23 THE COURT: You may. 24 BY MR. JONES: 25 So just to fill in the picture, what's shown in the ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

```
first column of the exhibit? What's recorded rather.
 1
 2
         The first column being the left-hand column.
   Α
 3
         Yes.
    0
 4
         That is the time that the -- you went to the cell
 5
   to check on him.
         And the second column from the left?
 6
 7
         That is the badge number of the person who actually
 8
   checked on him.
 9
         Okay. And 1270, who is that?
    Q
10
         1270 is Deputy Blanton.
   Α
         And who's 1277, if you remember?
11
12
   Α
         You know, I don't know.
         And then the third column is code; correct?
13
    0
         Correct. That's the observation code at the
14
   bottom, which a lot of those are 17. So then you look
15
16
   at the bottom and you look under No. 17.
                                             It says alert
17
   but quiet, so that's the actions that he did at that
18
   time.
19
         Okay. And what time does the document reflect that
20
   the watch was started?
         0644.
21
   Α
22
         And what time does it reflect that the watch ended?
23
         It looks like 1404, which would be 2:04 p.m.
24
         And in the code column of the exhibit there are
25
    some written entries, but then there are some entries
```

```
that are only numbers; correct?
 1
 2
         Correct.
   Α
 3
         And the entries that have a 3 next to them, what
 4
   does that reflect?
 5
         That reflects that he was sleeping.
   Α
 6
         And the entries that have a 17?
    Q
 7
         Alert but quiet.
    Α
 8
         I think there's 121 at the end. What does that
 9
   reflect?
10
         Talking and seems fine.
         There's an entry at 7:05. Do you see where I am
11
12
   with an asterisk next to it?
         Yes.
13
   Α
14
         What does that entry reflect?
15
         I can't see what it actually says. It looks like
16
   it says called.
17
         Maybe we can zoom in on it a little bit. So the
18
    7:05 entry, what does that entry reflect?
         Called the nurse.
19
20
         Why don't you go ahead and read the other
   handwritten entries.
21
22
         Looks like 644 he was moved to receiving.
23
   believe that says was tased. At 648 I can only see
24
   that -- it looks like movement, but I can't recall
25
    what's after that. 659 it says removed handcuffs.
                                                         Was
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

breathing. Saw chest rise. Hands were a little red
from -- can't see that. Circulation from being
handcuffed. That one word I can't make out. Then it
says move of arm under body.

- Q You read part of the entry about the hands. Could you read the rest of the entry? It goes over to the left-hand column and continues.
- A Where it says hands a little red from, and that word I can't make out, something, circulation from being handcuffed and resisting.
- Q I'd like to show you Exhibit 512. What is Exhibit 512?
- A Exhibit 512 is our shift log. It's a computized generated -- computer-generated shift log where we make entries of cell checks, significant notations in the jail.
- Q And for what day is this shift log?
- A May 21st, 2010. It starts at ten minutes after midnight.
- MR. JONES: I'd move the admission of 512, Your Honor.
- MR. PARDON: I don't know if Lieutenant Conroy knows anything about how these entries were made on this shift log or if he made them or can testify as to what they mean.

ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT

```
I can clarify, if necessary.
             MR. JONES:
 2
             THE COURT: All right. Why don't you.
 3
   BY MR. JONES:
 4
         How is it that officers, if you know, make entries
 5
    on the shift log?
 6
         They are generized -- or generated in the computer.
 7
    You put in your number -- you sign on, you put in your
 8
   number, and then you type in a comment. Some of the
   comments are already done like cell checks, you can just
 9
10
   hit cell checks and it will be automatically generated.
    Once the comments are in there, you can't change them.
11
         And is this shift log created as part of the normal
12
13
    operations of the jail each day?
14
         Yes.
               I believe it's required by code.
15
         Is it maintained by the jail as a normal part of
16
   its admissions?
17
   Α
         Yes.
             MR. JONES: I would move the admission of
18
19
   Exhibit 512, Your Honor.
20
             MR. PARDON: No objection.
21
             THE COURT: Received.
22
   BY MR. JONES:
23
         Were there any medical personnel on staff in the
24
    jail back in May of 2010?
25
    Α
         We had a county-employed nurse as well as a doctor.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
And the nurse, who was that?
 1
    Q
 2
         Vicki Bethke.
    Α
 3
         And is Ms. Bethke still employed by the county as a
 4
    nurse?
 5
    Α
         No.
 6
         To your knowledge did Ms. Bethke see Mr. Kingsley
 7
    that day?
 8
    Α
         Yes.
 9
         How did that come about?
10
         After the incident, I believe I told Deputy Blanton
    to call her and notify her so she could check on him.
11
12
         I'd like to show you what's been marked as Exhibit
13
    514. Do you recognize Exhibit 514?
14
         Those are medical notes that we keep in the jail.
15
         And do you know how these medical notes were
16
    generated?
                They're handwritten by the jail nurse.
17
         Yeah.
18
         And are these notes or notes of this type created
19
    in the regular course of the nurse's duties for the
20
    jail?
21
         They are.
    Α
22
         And are they preserved by the Sheriff's Department
23
    in the normal course of its business?
24
         They're preserved in the medical unit and either
25
    the medical staff or myself have the key for that.
                    ROBERT CONROY - DIRECT
```

```
MR. JONES: I'd move the admission of Exhibit
 2
    514, Your Honor.
             MR. PARDON: I'm going to object.
             THE COURT: Sustained.
 5
   BY MR. JONES:
 6
         Lieutenant Conroy, did Mr. Kingsley request any
 7
    medical tension -- medical attention from you that day?
 8
   Α
         No.
 9
             MR. JONES: Those are all my questions. Thank
10
    you.
                        Mr. Pardon, anything else?
11
12
             MR. PARDON: Yes. A few questions, Your Honor.
13
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
   BY MR. PARDON:
14
         Lieutenant Conroy, you were able to remember a lot
15
16
   more of Mr. Kingsley's actions when Mr. Jones asked you
    about them than what I did; correct?
17
             MR. JONES: Objection. Argumentative.
18
             THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20
   BY MR. PARDON:
21
         When you first got in to the jail, when you first
22
   came into the jail on the morning of the 21st, you spoke
23
   with Sergeant Hendrickson about Mr. Kingsley; correct?
24
         Correct.
   Α
25
         And I think you testified that Sergeant Hendrickson
                     ROBERT CONROY - CROSS
```

```
had told you about a comment that Mr. Kingsley
 1
 2
    supposedly made about the CERT team was going to have to
 3
    come in; correct?
 4
         I believe he told me that on the phone, yes.
 5
         Okay. And you personally never heard that comment
 6
    from Mr. Kingsley; correct?
 7
         No.
 8
         In fact, it was your understanding that Sergeant
 9
   Hendrickson had not actually heard that Mr. Kingsley
10
   made that comment; isn't that correct?
11
         Correct.
12
         And you -- after you came in and spoke with
13
    Sergeant Hendrickson, at some point you had a briefing
14
   with the other officers that were going to go into the
    cell to move Mr. Kingsley; correct?
15
16
        After I spoke to Mr. Kingsley I met with the other
17
   officers, yes.
18
         In fact, you don't even know if the CERT team
19
    comment was raised at the briefing; right?
20
    Α
         What briefing?
21
         The briefing you had with the officers before you
22
   went to move Mr. Kingsley from the cell.
23
         I don't believe it was.
24
         Okay. So it wasn't really that big of a concern at
25
    the time right before you went into the cell; correct?
                     ROBERT CONROY - CROSS
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-P-43

```
I think it was a concern, yes.
Α
     It wasn't enough of a concern that you had raised
it and discussed it with the other officers right before
you went in; correct?
     I believe they already knew. I was briefed by
those officers that it happened.
     Okay. I just want to make sure I understand. You
don't know that the comment about the CERT team wasn't
even discussed before you went into the cell; correct?
    Right beforehand, no.
     Okay. And you indicated that when the officers
went into the cell, Sergeant Hendrickson and Deputy
Blanton to do handcuffing, that Mr. Kingsley kept his
arms straight; right?
    He kept them straight and by the side of his
buttocks, yes.
     And he was tensing his straight arms; is that your
testimony?
    He was tensing his arms.
     Okay. But you didn't again actually see the
handcuffing procedure; correct?
     The actual handcuffs going on, no. I saw his arms.
    All right. And just to back up a little bit, when
you spoke with Mr. Kingsley personally, you know, on
```

your own, and you talked about removing the paper and ROBERT CONROY - CROSS

```
the fact that he was going to go to receiving, it's
 1
 2
   correct to say that a decision had already been made to
 3
    send him to receiving; correct?
 4
        When I originally went in to speak to him, no, that
 5
   decision wasn't made in my head, no.
 6
        Okay. Could you take out your deposition, please.
 7
        Yes.
    Α
 8
         I direct you to page 36 of your deposition. And
 9
   again, you were under oath when you gave a deposition
10
   here in this case; correct?
11
        Yes.
         I'm going to read, beginning at line 12 of page 36.
12
13
   And if you could look at the context. This is in
   reference to your discussion with Mr. Kingsley; is it
14
   not?
15
16
         Starting at Number 12 or -- Do you want me to back
17
   up a little bit?
        Well, starting at Number 12, we're talking about
18
   your conversation with Mr. Kingsley; correct?
19
20
   Α
        Correct.
21
        All right.
   Q
22
             MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                  I don't
   think this is a proper use of a deposition.
23
24
             THE COURT: Sustained.
25
   BY MR. PARDON:
                    ROBERT CONROY - CROSS
```

```
Had a decision been made to move Mr. Kingsley to
 1
 2
   receiving for a 23-hour lockdown because Deputy Manka
 3
   had issued a minor violation report?
 4
        No.
 5
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, may I proceed to read
 6
    a portion of the deposition at this time?
 7
             THE COURT: Is this in an attempt to impeach
 8
   Mr. Conroy?
 9
             MR. PARDON: Yes.
             THE COURT: You may.
10
   BY MR. PARDON:
11
        All right. So turning to line 12 of page 36, the
12
    question was asked to you:
13
         "Question: So the reason to take him to receiving
14
    it sounds like was two-fold: Number one was so he would
15
16
   not be in the cells so you could remove the paper; and
   number two, discipline for violating jail rules. Is
17
   that correct?
18
19
         "Answer: At that time, yes. His placement in
20
   receiving would be the 24-hour lockdown, I believe it
21
   was, that Deputy Manka had issued. So that would have
22
   been, during that conversation, that would have been the
23
   reasoning, yes."
24
         Is that what you testified under oath then?
25
         That is what I testified. But there is -- if I may
                     ROBERT CONROY - CROSS
```

```
clarify.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Your lawyer will have -- not your
 3
    lawyer, but the defendants' lawyer will have an
 4
    opportunity to ask you some more questions.
 5
   BY MR. PARDON:
 6
         All right. You mentioned at one point while
 7
   Mr. Kingsley was in the receiving cell that you
 8
    considered the use of the chair; correct?
 9
         Correct.
    Α
10
         And at the time you considered the use of the
    chair, he was in handcuffs; correct?
11
12
   Α
        Correct.
13
         All right. In fact, you also made an observation
    about the position of Mr. Kingsley's handcuffs before he
14
   was carried into the cell, and you testified they were a
15
16
   little loose; correct?
        Correct.
17
   Α
         You didn't say anything in any incident report you
18
   wrote about his handcuffs being a little loose; did you?
19
20
    Α
         No.
21
         And in preparation for your testimony in this case,
22
   you, in fact, read an expert disclosure by a Mr. Landers
23
   on behalf of the plaintiff; didn't you?
24
         I read one expert testimony, the one that you
25
   provided. That's the one I read.
                     ROBERT CONROY - CROSS
```

```
Okay. And that had something to do with, in part
 1
 2
   at least, about the potential tightness of the
 3
   handcuffs; didn't it?
 4
         I believe it did.
 5
         Okay. You also had a conversation, I just want to
 6
   be clear, with Sergeant Hendrickson right after you
 7
   exited the receiving cell in which you asked him why the
 8
   taser was used; correct?
 9
    Α
         Yes.
10
        All right. Did the tone of that question go
    something like what were you doing? Why did you use the
11
12
   taser?
        No. It was the tone that I'm using right now.
13
         Okay. And you also said that you thought you heard
14
15
    Sergeant Hendrickson state that Mr. Kingsley had tried
16
   to bite him in the past; right?
         In a certain cell entry?
17
18
         Right, yes. Right after that you --
19
         Yeah.
               I put that in my report, yes.
20
         Okay. And just to be clear, a month later you
21
   wrote, in response to Mr. Kingsley's request to have
22
   that changed, that your report of what you heard was an
23
   accurate account of what was said. Isn't that what you
24
   said; correct?
25
    Α
        Paraphrased, but yes.
                     ROBERT CONROY - CROSS
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And in preparing for your testimony in this case, you, in fact, had a conversation with Sergeant Hendrickson, didn't you, in which Sergeant Hendrickson told you that he had testified otherwise; that he never made that comment. Isn't that correct? I asked him and he stated he did not make that comment, yes. So you were aware that Sergeant Hendrickson had provided that testimony in a deposition when you testified in this case? Yes. And I think you also testified that you save, in the Monroe County Jail, you save videos of -- do you save videos of any significant event that occurs? Yes. Α To your knowledge, is there any video of you removing the handcuffs from Mr. Kingsley when he went back in 15 or 20 minutes later or whatever it was? I believe there is. We haven't seen it here today, have we? Not today, no. Α MR. PARDON: No further questions. THE COURT: Mr. Jones. MR. JONES: Just a few. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

ROBERT CONROY - CROSS

BY MR. JONES:

- Q You ruled out at some point using the chair, quote unquote, the chair, with Mr. Kingsley; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Why did you rule out using the chair?
- A Because we couldn't get him under control to put him into the chair; couldn't get his handcuffs off. It just wasn't a viable option.
- Q What is the fact of the matter as to whether or not you had decided that Mr. Kingsley would be moved to receiving when you went back to talk to him originally the morning of May 21st?
- A When I went back to speak to Mr. Kingsley originally, it was to get him to take the light down. He could have served that 23-hour lockdown in his cell had he done that. As we progressed through this, then there were other considerations that came to mind when we had to move him out. So not only would he have to be removed from his cell and placed in receiving to face that 23-hour lockdown that Deputy Manka served, but also discipline for failure to follow other orders and having to remove him from the cell, there was additional discipline. That's why we removed or we took him out, removed him from the cell and put him in the receiving area.

ROBERT CONROY - REDIRECT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-P-50

```
So when you went back to talk to Mr. Kingsley, was
he already on a disciplinary lockdown?
     Yes.
Α
    And clarify for us why was that?
    For failure to follow orders of staff. He didn't
take the paper down when he was told to do so multiple
times by staff.
     By whom originally -- well, let me back up.
put him on that and when?
     Deputy Manka the previous night.
     Okay. And is that why, when we looked at the video
of the cell doors closing on south block as you entered
into the cellblock, is that why all the doors closed
except Mr. Kingsley's?
     Correct, because he was already serving that
discipline.
    And then was there further discipline to be issued
as a result of his failure to follow your orders?
     Correct. A minor discipline is something that is
23 hours or less. A major discipline is more than 24
hours. At that point, we generally take them out of the
place where they're housed in and at that point we'll
put them in receiving. And then he could serve that
time consecutive to the original discipline for failure
to follow Deputy Manka's orders.
```

ROBERT CONROY - REDIRECT

```
Was there any discipline to be imposed other than
 1
 2
   these lockdowns and the segregation in the receiving
    cell? Or was that it?
 3
 4
        No other discipline, just a lockdown.
 5
             MR. JONES: Thank you.
 6
             THE COURT: You may step down.
         (Witness excused at 2:21 p.m.)
 8
             THE COURT: Mr. Pardon, you may call your next
 9
    witness.
10
             MR. PARDON: All right. Your Honor --
11
             MR. JONES: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to
12
    interrupt, but this witness was under subpoena. Is he
13
   released from that subpoena?
14
             MR. PARDON: Yes.
15
             THE COURT: You're free to leave the building.
16
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, we call Mr. Brian
   Landers.
17
          BRIAN LANDERS, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN,
18
19
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
   BY MR. PARDON:
         Good afternoon.
21
    0
22
   Α
         Good afternoon.
23
         Could you state your name.
24
         Brian Landers.
   Α
25
         Mr. Landers, what is your present employment
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
position?
 1
 2
        I am the criminal justice chair for Madison
 3
   College.
 4
        And Madison College, just for the benefit of our
 5
    jury, has that been referred to as any other names?
 6
   Α
        Yes. Madison Area Technical College.
 7
        MATC?
    Q
 8
   Α
        MATC, correct.
 9
        Do you have any other positions that you presently
10
   hold?
         I co-own a company called BlueboardIT, and I'm also
11
12
   an elected official. I'm the mayor of the City of
   Wisconsin Dells.
13
        Could you briefly describe your educational
14
15
   background.
16
        I have a social degree studies from MATC. I also
   have a bachelor of science in criminal justice and
17
   prelaw from Mt. Senario College. And I also attended
18
   the 500 -- or the 400-hour at that time Basic Law
19
20
   Enforcement Recruit Academy at MATC.
        All right. And do you have any direct experience
21
22
   in law enforcement?
23
        Yes. I served 18 years with the Wisconsin Dells
24
   Police Department.
25
        And when was that?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
A From 1992 to the end of 2010.
```

- Q Okay. And what in general were your duties as a police officer?
- A General patrol duties. Investigative duties. As I advanced through the Department, I became a canine handler for about eight-and-a-half years. I was on the drug unit. I was assigned to the county drug units as well in Sauk and Columbia County. I was in charge of Department training.

I was promoted to Lieutenant in 2003 through 2008.

In 2008 I was promoted to Lieutenant. Part of my duties as a sergeant were supervision of patrol staff, supervision of nonpatrol staff, as well our dispatch.

Part of my duties as Lieutenant was to do policy guidance, assessment budgeting.

We also had a municipal lockup, so duties included administration of the municipal lockup as well.

- Q Just to clarify I think you said you became a Lieutenant in 2003.
- A I'm sorry. Sergeant. I was promoted to Sergeant from 2003 to 2005 or 2003 to 2008 and then 2008 I was promoted to Lieutenant.
- Q Okay. And did part of your training as a police officer involve the appropriate use of force?
- A Yes, it did.

BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

```
Did you ever have occasion to use force or consider
 1
 2
   the use of force while you were a police officer?
 3
         Many times.
    Α
 4
         Did you ever have occasion to use a taser on anyone
 5
   while you were a police officer?
 6
   Α
         Yes, I did.
 7
         And have you ever yourself been tased?
 8
   Α
         Yes, I have.
 9
         What does it feel like?
    0
10
         It hurts.
   Α
         And as part of your duties in the Wisconsin Dells
11
12
   Police Department, did you have occasion to have access
13
   to a jail?
14
         Yes.
               The City of Wisconsin Dells actually sits on
   four different counties: Sauk, Columbia, Juneau and
15
16
          So we had to be familiar with the jail
   procedures in all four of those jails. That included
17
18
   taking people to the jail; sometimes we'd have to pick
   them up to transport them to other places; sometimes
19
20
   we'd have to interview people at the jail. So we had to
21
   be familiar with those jails, as well as our municipal
22
    lockup as well.
23
         Okay. Now, you mentioned that you're on the
24
    faculty of Madison College or MATC, formerly known as
25
   MATC. How long have you been on the faculty?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

A I was part-time since 2000 and then I was hired full time in December of -- actually technically September of 2010.

Q Okay. And what are your present duties at Madison College?

A As criminal justice chair, the bulk of my duties include the administration of The Law Enforcement Academy, The Jail Academy and the specialized training. Specialized training is when a police officer gets done with their basic training, then they go through specialized training to keep up their certifications or to get an advance knowledge or training in a specific skill.

Part -- I also teach within the Law Enforcement

Academy, and part of my duties when initially hired and
still carry with me today is I'm kind of the course
coordinator and lead professor of a course called

Introductions to Corrections which is a course that's
trained in the associate degree portion of the Madison
Area Technical College.

- Q Have you ever heard of something called -- that's colloquially called the DAAT Manual?
- A Yes.

- Q What does DAAT stand for?
- 25 A DAAT is an acronym. D-A-A-T. It stands for BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

Defensive And Arrest Tactics. 1 2 Do you do any instruction with respect to the DAAT 3 Manual? 4 I've been a DAAT instructor since 2000. 5 been the lead instructor for MATC in their DAAT program 6 since 2003, and was also appointed as a master 7 instructor of defensive tactics. 8 Okay. Just to back up a bit, what professions are 9 you preparing the students that you're teaching at 10 Madison College? Wide variety. Our students go on to become police 11 12 officers, sheriff's deputies, jailers, correctional employees at both the state and federal level, federal 13 14 law enforcement, probation, courts, sometimes private security. 15 16 Okay. And how many officers have you personally 17 trained? Well over a thousand. 18 Just to help us if there's any -- to be clear, are 19 20 there any differences between corrections officers, 21 jailers, and police officers? 22 Yeah. Police officers are officers that work on 23 patrol. They work the street. Jailers are people that 24 work through -- usually through a county sheriff's 25 Office that are assigned to work in a jail setting.

BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Correctional officers are -- usually that term is referred to people that work in the profession of corrections at the state or federal level working in prisons. All right. And would a police officer or a sheriff's deputy be qualified to work in a jail, in a county jail? They would be qualified. The qualifications are higher for law enforcement than they are for jailers per se; not to say the professionalism should not be equal, but they would be qualified. But if they were going to work as a jailer full time, then they would have to attend and complete the Jail Academy. All right. You talked earlier about the Defensive And Arrest Tactics Manual. Could you describe a little bit more about what that is? The Defensive And Arrest Tactics Manual is kind of the guide or the Bible for use of force in Wisconsin. Every single police officer in the State of Wisconsin, when they go through the academy, has to be trained in defense and arrest tactics. The manual is just that. It's the training manual for every single law enforcement officer in Wisconsin. All right. And have you personally played any role

in developing the content of the DAAT Manual?

BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

Yes, I have. 1 Α 2 And how so? 3 I've been appointed by the -- I was a State of 4 Wisconsin, Department of Justice, Division and Training 5 in Standards as a member of the Tactical Advisory Committee. The Tactical Advisory Committee is the 6 7 committee that shaped law enforcement training, 8 including the authoring, distribution, and regulations of training anything related to defensive and arrest 9 10 tactics as a statewide curriculum. And as part of your role on the Wisconsin DOJ 11 12 Tactical Advisory Committee, have you assisted in 13 preparation of other manuals that are used? 14 Yes. Under our guidance, not only is DAAT's 15 curriculum part of our program, but the state firearms 16 curriculum; the tactical response curriculum, which would be something like a active shooter or the school 17 18 shooting; and also the electronic control device 19 training and curriculum. 20 And electronic control device, does that include a TASER? 21 22 Yeah. It's the state's name for a TASER. 23 Okay. Are there differences in the way that law 24 enforcement officers or police officers are trained in 25 use-of-force principles as opposed to the way jail BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

officers are trained?

A No. There's different topics: Defensive and arrest tactics is meant more for law enforcement training. Jailers have to go through what we call POSC, which is P-O-S-C. It's an acronym for Principles of Subject Control.

Out of the physical requirements, when somebody goes through an academy, they're required to have a physical testing, besides a written exam, but to make sure that all the competencies, that they know have to do the different skills required. Out of the 50 requirements in POSC, and I believe there's 49 in DAATs, they're almost 99% identical. But the major difference between POSC in jail and DAAT in law enforcement is a lot of the -- some of the tactics like group handcuffing or escorting a person from point A to point B. There's more emphasis on doing it as a team or as a group in a jail than there is in DAAT.

The theory is is that a lot of police officers, especially in Wisconsin, work in rural or isolated areas, so there's more of an emphasis on how to take care of yourself when you're on your own.

- Q Okay. And you sort of said this, but what's the relationship between POSC and DAAT Manuals?
- A The relationship is that there's a separate BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

```
committee, the POSC Committee that the state appoints.
 1
 2
   Back a couple years ago, I want to say somewhere around
 3
    2010, they decided to shape their manual in line with
 4
   the DAAT Manual so that there's almost seamless training
 5
   between the two.
 6
        Okay. I'm going to refer you to Plaintiff's
 7
   Exhibit 1067, if you could find that in your binder,
 8
   please. Sorry about the bulkiness.
        That's okay. I believe I have it.
 9
   Α
10
        Okay. Could you describe what Plaintiff's Exhibit
11
   106 is.
12
        It would be my curriculum vitae or my resume.
13
        And does this accurately reflect your employment
   and educational experience?
14
15
        Yes, it does.
   Α
16
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, I move to admit
   Plaintiff's Exhibit 106.
17
             MR. JONES: No objection.
18
19
             THE COURT: Received.
20
             MR. PARDON: May I publish it then for the
21
    jury?
22
             THE COURT:
                        Any objection?
23
             MR. JONES: No.
24
             THE COURT: You may.
25
   BY MR. PARDON:
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

Q All right. I'm going to direct your attention to the second page of your resume. Now again, I have put yellow markings on the copy here that I'm showing the jury and you. I'd like to highlight a couple of things and I'm going to refer to the section on instructor certifications. Can you describe what are instructor certifications?

A These are specific certifications that are provided either through the State of Wisconsin Department of Justice; sometimes there are private entities that will also certify you in certain areas.

Q Okay. And I'd like to call your attention to the second highlighted portion I have there. What's a master instructor of defensive tactics?

A That is somebody that has to be appointed through a technical college or training academy. Actually they're referred to by a technical college or a training academy to the Department of Justice of somebody who has advanced knowledge or training in the use of defensive tactics and the training of defensive tactics to become a trainer.

The Department of Justice Training and Standards then reviews that and if they feel the person is qualified, they will appoint them as a master instructor.

BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

2-P-<u>6</u>2

```
And you have that certification?
 1
 2
    Α
         Yes.
 3
               And the second highlighted thing I have a
 4
   few lines down says report writing instructor. Do you
 5
   see that?
 6
   Α
         Yes, I do.
 7
         What certification is that? What's that about?
 8
         That's another -- you have to submit kind of an
 9
   application resume to the Department of Justice, again
10
   outlining your training and experience and education,
   and the training and standards board meets and if they
11
12
   feel that you're qualified to teach this, then you are
13
   certified as a report writing instructor.
14
         And I'm going to refer you to the final
   certification I have highlighted. Do you see the one
15
16
   that says TASER instructor?
17
   Α
         Yes.
18
         And previously what's that?
         That would be a private vendor outside the State of
19
20
   Wisconsin, Taser International, that if you go through
21
   their training course, then you are certified as a TASER
22
   instructor if you go through the instructor level
23
    course.
24
         You can set the resume aside here for now.
25
    you evaluated use-of-force issues before?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
Yes, I have.
   Α
 1
 2
         All right. Have you ever testified in a case like
 3
   this before?
 4
        No, I have not.
 5
         Okay. What were you asked to do in connection with
 6
   this case, Mr. Landers?
 7
        Give me one second.
 8
        Okay. I apologize.
 9
         That's okay. Excuse me. I was asked to do -- to
   Α
10
   review this incident and establish an opinion, and I've
    established an opinion based upon the three incidents.
11
    The first incident that I've established an opinion on
12
13
   was that the use of the taser was unreasonable.
14
         The second --
             MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor.
15
16
             THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did you object?
            MR. JONES: I did object. May I approach?
17
18
             THE COURT: You may.
         (Discussion at side bar at 2:35 p.m.)
19
20
             MR. JONES: This has been covered in part in
    the motions in limine, but I wanted to renew my
21
22
    objection to the witness testifying about what is
23
   reasonable and unreasonable.
24
             THE COURT: What is --
25
                        What is reasonable force or what is
             MR. JONES:
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

unreasonable force. We think that's essentially a legal conclusion and that he should not be permitted to testify to it. I do have citations if that would assist the Court.

MR. PARDON: I think the answer to your motion in limine, to the motion in limine was an accurate description of the law. I think he can testify. He's talking about what the standards are, how officers are expected to act, and he's going to testify whether that's reasonable.

THE COURT: Right. We'll stick with that, with the ruling. Okay.

(End of side bar discussion at 2:35 p.m.)
BY MR. PARDON:

Q Getting back to where we were, let me reask the question. What were you asked to do in connection with this case?

A I was asked to review the case and establish an opinion based upon my review, and my opinion was that -- my first opinion was that the use of the taser was unreasonable.

My second opinion that I've established is that the handcuffing that was done on Mr. Kingsley was not done appropriately and could have led to the handcuffs not being applied appropriately to tension in the arms of BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

Mr. Kingsley.

The last opinion that I've established was that the control tactics done by Sergeant Hendrickson in the receiving cell of Mr. Kingsley were also unreasonable.

- Q Okay. I'm going to ask you more detail about those opinions in a bit. But I would just like to back up a little bit and say what things did you do personally to come to those conclusions?
- A I reviewed the incident reports that were supplied to me. I reviewed the videos that were supplied to me. I also reviewed the policies by Monroe County. I've reviewed the deposition of Mr. Kingsley. I've also used the -- used the State training manuals that were present at the time of the incident. And I used my own training and experience to establish my opinion.
- Q Okay. Did you review the training records of the officers in this case as well?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q All right. All right. I'd like to turn now to your opinion on the use of the taser, and if you could summarize why do you believe that the use of the taser on Mr. Kingsley under this circumstance was unreasonable?
- A The use of a taser is -- a taser is a weapon. The use of a taser in our state and also throughout many BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

other states requires that there has to be a component of active resistance, and active resistance has a very clear definition. That definition is that active resistance is a person physically counteracting the control tactics of an officer with a component that presents a real threat of bodily harm to that officer. I did not see that there was active resistance.

I'm going to again ask you -- we're going to get to that in more detail, but we've been using a lot of these terms and I just want to make sure that folks understand.

First of all, can you just briefly explain what a taser is?

A taser is an electronic control device or it's a handheld device. It looks very similar to a gun, but it's not a gun. It contains an electronic charge that's powered by what they call a digital powered magazine, which is kind of a fancy term for a battery pack.

That battery pack, when the taser is turned on and the trigger is depressed, will send an electronic current through a positive and negative probe. The current tasers that are used today have cartridges that affix onto the end of the device, and inside those cartridges are two probes connected through a copper wire that go back to compressed nitrogen. So when the BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

trigger is pulled, if the cartridge is in place, it will fire those probes out of the cartridge to the target.

Lacking the cartridge, the taser can still be used as kind of a stun gun, if you will.

At the very end of it, there's a positive and negative probe. When you touch it to the skin of a person, then they feel the electricity.

Q Okay. So what's the difference between what happens to a person who's hit by a taser when they've been struck by the probes versus what happens when they've been stunned?

A When they're hit with the probes, the probes actually have to go in or make contact with the skin and what that does is it starts to interfere with the nerve signals between the brain and the major muscle groups. Everybody understand that your brain, when you talk, move, blink and all that, all of that is being controlled through electricity conducted from the brain to nerve endings.

What the taser has done is they have pretty much isolated the wavy wave of the brain impulses with the nerves, and it interrupts that. It jams them, if you will. So when the probes actually make contact and go into the body, even though they go in for a very short amount, it interrupts the ability for the brain to speak BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

with major muscle groups, so the major muscle groups tend to lock up.

Lacking the probes actually going into the body, that doesn't cause that muscular disruption. So if you were to just take a taser, take the cartridge off, press it to somebody's skin, you're not getting that muscle disruption I talked about, but you are getting pain from the electricity.

- Q Do you know how the taser was deployed in this case?
- A Yeah, it would have been without the cartridge,
 which is commonly referred to as a drive stun.
- 13 Q And that's the mode that causes pain; correct?
- 14 A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q Now you also say that Mr. Kingsley did not show active resistance; correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Is that a term that has a specific meaning?
- 19 A Yes, it does.
- Q All right. I want to ask you if you could turn to
 Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 in your binder. So that should be
- 22 in your first binder.
- 23 A Okay.
- 24 Q Can you identify what Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 is?
- 25 A This is the Defensive and Arrest Tactics Manual BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

2-P-<u>6</u>9

```
from August 2007.
 1
 2
         Is that the basic manual that was in effect at the
 3
   time of the incident here?
 4
         Yes, it is.
 5
         And did you use this in considering your opinion in
 6
   this case?
 7
         Yes, I did.
 8
    Q
         All right.
 9
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, I move to admit
   Plaintiff's Exhibit 9.
10
11
             MR. JONES: No objection.
             THE COURT: It's received.
12
13
             MR. PARDON: If I could then publish it to the
14
    jury.
   BY MR. PARDON:
15
16
        I'm going to refer to specific pages, so I'm going
   to refer you to page -- the third page of the Manual.
17
18
   I'll put that up here. And are you there yet? It's
    like little roman numeral iii?
19
20
    Α
         Yes.
         The third page of the Manual, can you -- I've
21
22
   highlighted something on the screen here that I'm
23
    showing both yourself and the jury. And is that you?
24
         Yes, that is.
   Α
25
         And why are you listed here?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

2-P-.70

```
Because I was a member of the Tactical Advisory
 1
   Α
 2
    Committee that authored this Manual.
         Okay. You indicated the term active resistance has
 3
 4
   a specific meaning. Could describe some terms that
 5
   refer to resistance and what they mean?
        Some terms?
 6
   Α
 7
         Well, could you describe what is active resistance?
 8
         Active resistance is resistance, physical
 9
   resistance that physically counteracts an officer's
10
   ability to take a person into custody or control a
   person that also presents an element of bodily harm to
11
   that officer.
12
        Okay. Could you turn to page 105 of the Manual.
13
14
   Α
         Okay.
15
         Do you see that I highlighted the term active
16
   resistance in this section of the Manual?
17
         Yes, I see that.
   Α
18
         And is this what you were referring to when you
   talk about active resistance?
19
20
   Α
         Yes, it is.
21
         Have you heard the term passive resistance?
   Q
22
   Α
         Yes, I have.
23
         What does passive resistance mean?
24
         It means it's noncompliant but yet nonthreatening
25
    behavior.
```

BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

```
And again, could you turn to page 118 of the
 1
 2
   Manual.
         I'm there.
 3
    Α
         Okay. And do you see the term passive resistance
 4
 5
   highlighted there?
 6
   Α
         Yes, I do.
 7
         And is that what you're talking about?
 8
   Α
         Yes, it is.
 9
         Could you turn to page 35 of that Manual, please.
10
   I'm going to put up a portion of that page that refers
   to control alternatives. It's about halfway down and
11
    I've highlighted specifically two different paragraphs.
12
13
   One that begins with passive resistance and one that
   begins with active resistance. Do you see that?
14
         Yes, I do.
15
16
         Can you describe or explain what is being
17
   highlighted here?
         What's being highlighted is to try to give the
18
    student an understanding of the difference between
19
20
   passive and active resistance. People are different
21
   types of learners, so sometimes they can learn better by
22
   visualizing. So what we've done is we try to give some
23
   examples in which a student can maybe get a light bulb
24
   turned on to say okay, I understand what they mean here
25
    between passive and active resistance.
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

Q Now, referring to this here, as well as your own experience, can you provide some examples of what would cause -- of things that are commonly taught to constitute passive resistance as well as active resistance?

A Sure. A passive resistance would be something like let's say somebody is outside protesting the federal court; them sitting on the stairs with their arms crossed saying I'm not going to leave, but yet they're not showing any signs or indication that they're going to intentionally harm that officer.

Another example that was in the news recently was the example of the pepper spraying of the UC Santa Barbara students during the sit-in for the 99% protest, I believe, or the occupied protest. So that would be an example of passive resistance.

Active resistance would be something that, again, physically counteracts an officer's ability to take them, to control or take the person into custody and presents a level of bodily harm. An officer tells somebody you're under arrest and they turn around in a boxing stance or a fighting stance. Maybe an officer is trying to take a person into custody and the person gets up and violently pulls their arms away or they are directed to the ground and they get up back up again and BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

2-P-.73

they're showing a repetitive action to fight the 1 2 officer. 3 Thank you. I'd like to ask you about 4 another term. Have you heard the term resistive 5 tension? 6 Α Yes, I have. 7 And what is resistive tension? Α It's the level of agitation in a person's body. And what is the relationship between terms like 10 passive or active resistance and the term resistive tension? Resistive tension is used to be an indicator for officers, not only for the potential for a situation to escalate, but they'll also consider the entire circumstances, the entire environment. If an officer goes hands on and touches a person, they might feel 17 resistance; tension. An officer has to understand what is that tension caused from. For instance, a person standing outside on the street watching their home burn down, the officer has to move that person away so the firefighters can get in there. An officer might feel resistive tension. Doesn't necessarily mean that that 23 person is going to harm the officer. You may have answered my question, but the question is does -- one of the questions I have is does -- if

BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

somebody is exhibiting resistive tension, does that 1 2 necessarily mean they are exhibiting active resistance? It's a case-by-case basis. 3 No. All right. We'll get into this further, but based 4 5 on your review of the incident, how would you 6 characterize Mr. Kingsley's actions at the time he was 7 tased by Officer Degner in terms of resistance he may 8 have been offering? 9 I would say either no resistance or passive. Α 10 Was he exhibiting active resistance? 11 No. 12 All right. Now you've talked about the fact that 13 tasers are not supposed to be used unless there's active 14 resistance. Are there guidelines for the type of force that officers can use in Wisconsin? 15 16 Yes. Okay. And could you turn to page 29 of the DAAT 17 18 Manual. Exhibit 9. I'll put it up here, a portion of 19 page 29 up here. Let me know when you're there. 20 Α I am here. 21 Could you explain generally what's being depicted 22 on this chart of page 29 of the DAAT Manual. 23 This is the intervention options. The intervention 24 options are basically where the tactics of a police 25 officer or a jailer would -- where it would fall in

line. What we call a *Disturbance Resolution Model*. A Disturbance Resolution Model is a blanket model for all police officers and jailers to understand when use of force is appropriate.

Q Okay. Could you briefly describe some of the modes that are described here and what their purpose is.

A Sure. The first mode is *presence*, which is displaying authority. It's a, you know, police officer or jailer shows up. You know, just their presence alone can get people to comply or maybe deter people from doing something they shouldn't be doing.

Dialogue is to verbally persuade, which presence and dialogue combined, having an officer talking to a person or possibly using heavy commands upon a person, trying to get them to comply.

Then there's control alternatives. Control alternatives are used when there's passive or active resistance or threats.

And the next would be protective alternatives, which is going to be used when you have continued ongoing resistance or there's definitely assaultive behavior. An officer is being injured right now.

And then lastly is deadly force, which is to stop the threat.

Q Okay. Could you turn to page 32 of the Manual, and BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

specifically I'm referring you to the section that says 1 2 Dialogue. Do you see that section? 3 Yes, I do. Α 4 And do you see that it continues on to page 33 of 5 the Manual? 6 Α Yes. 7 All right. And I'm going to refer you to part of 8 page 33, the portion I have highlighted here on the 9 screen. 10 What is being described here in the highlighted portion of the Manual? 11 In each mode, you know, with maybe the exception of 12 13 presence and deadly force, there are varying levels of tactics that officers can use, from a lower level to a 14 higher level, and that's what this is describing; that 15 16 an officer's verbalizationd skills alone can get people 17 to comply or provide them information. So this is kind of describing a low level to a higher level of 18 verbalization. 19 20 I'd like to refer to a higher level where you talk 21 -- where you mentioned about control alternatives. Can 22 you talk about what some of the control alternatives 23 are. 24 Again, there's lower levels and there's higher 25 levels. Some of the lower levels would be pressure BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

points. There's a mandibular pressure point behind a person's ear that could be used. Some of the higher levels would be decentralizations of taking a person that's standing up and forcing them or directing them to the ground. And then there's also the use of a taser or pepper spray in the controlled alternatives portion of our Manual.

- Q I'm going to refer you to page 41 of the Manual.
- A Okay.

- Q When you get there, and in particular to the portion I've highlighted, what is being described here in the highlighted portion?
- A When we talk about control alternatives and control devices, control devices in the State of Wisconsin are pepper spray and tasers or ECDs. What this basically says is you are permitted to use these as a police officer only when you encounter active resistance or the threat of active resistance. Nothing less.
- Q Okay. And just again, briefly how does this relate to Mr. Kingsley's case?
- 21 A There was no active resistance when the taser was 22 used.
- Q Okay. Why do you think there was no active resistance when the taser was used?
- 25 A Well, I did not see anything in the video and there BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

```
was nothing in the reports that articulated that there
 1
 2
   was active resistance.
         Okay. I'd like to walk you through a couple of the
 3
   videos, but in light of the fact -- I'll ask you briefly
 4
 5
   did you view the video in which Mr. Kingsley was carried
   out of his cell and down the hallway?
 6
 7
         Yes.
    Α
 8
         And in fact, did you see that video today in the
 9
   courtroom?
10
         Yes, I did.
   Α
         And is there anything on that video that indicated
11
12
   to you that Mr. Kingsley was exhibiting active
   resistance?
13
14
   Α
        No.
15
         All right. I'm going to refer you to Plaintiff's
16
   Exhibit 14, and this is the video of the receiving cell,
   which I understand is the subject of a stipulation for
17
   admissibility.
18
19
             MR. JONES: Yes.
20
             MR. PARDON: Could you turn on the laptop?
21
         Did you rely on this video in part in forming your
22
   opinions in this case?
23
         Yes, I did.
24
         And by the way, can you tell us what the
25
   blacked-out area in the video is?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-P-79

```
That's usually set there by the monitoring company
Α
in cooperation with the jail staff. Behind that is the
toilet, so it gives the inmate some privacy when they're
using the toilet.
     Okay. I'm going to play this video for the jury to
watch. It's going to take a couple of minutes, but I
would like everyone to see it, as well as yourself.
     (Video played
                            2:56-2:59 \text{ p.m.}
     I'm going to stop it there. Just so we're clear,
are you able to identify the point on the video when the
taser began to be deployed?
     It appears to me that the taser was employed right
around 6:46:46, I believe somewhere around that, 6:45,
6:46.
     Okay. What I'll do is I'll play a short segment of
it, and just so we're all clear, if you could point out
where that begins if you're able to see it. Okay?
Α
     Okay.
     (Video played)
Α
     Right about there.
     So when you said right about there --
Q
Α
     6:45:46.
     Okay. Thank you. I'd like to discuss your
professional observations of the video. What aspects of
this video led you to the conclusion that Mr. Kingsley
```

was not exhibiting active resistance?

A I don't see a physical threat to the officers there. I see a man that is handcuffed behind his back laying face down in a bunk with weight or pressure from the officers, and there's no physical harm presented to the officers.

Q All right. I'm going to move to a segment of the film where I asked you about some movements that Mr. Kingsley made with his upper body. So if you would bear with me while I fuddle with the computer here and get to the point that I want to start, I appreciate that.

Okay. I'm going to begin it at 6:44:34 and I'm going to play it for a little bit. So beginning at 6:44:34.

(Video played)

All right. Now I've stopped the video at 6:44:52, and I'd like to ask you did you see Mr. Kingsley's head or upper body make any movements there?

- A Yeah. Lifting off of the bunk.
- Q Okay. And first of all, based on your reports or review of your reports from this case, do you know which person is at Mr. Kingsley's head?
- A I believe that would be Sergeant Hendrickson.
- Q Are you aware there was some mention in the BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

```
incident reports in this case about a concern that
 1
 2
   Mr. Kingsley might try to bite someone?
 3
        Yes.
    Α
 4
        All right. Does it appear to you from your
 5
   professional review of the video that the actions of
 6
    Sergeant Hendrickson around the time that Mr. Kingsley
 7
   was moving his head are consistent with someone who is
 8
    concerned about being bitten?
 9
    Α
        No.
10
             MR. JONES: Objection. Competence.
   Foundation.
11
             THE COURT: Overruled.
12
13
   BY MR. PARDON?
14
    Q
         Why?
15
         Anyone concerned about being bitten is going to pay
16
   attention to the person. You're not going to stand away
17
   from them. You're going to try to control their head.
18
   You're going to be directing your attention at them.
    There were several times just prior to that taser being
19
20
   used that Sergeant Hendrickson isn't doing any of those
21
   things. He's letting Mr. Kingsley move his head a
22
    little bit. He takes control of the head and he lets it
23
    go. He takes control and lets it go. If you're worried
    about being bitten, you don't do that.
24
25
         Okay. Do you think that Mr. Kingsley, lifting his
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

2-P-<u>8</u>2

```
head in these instances here, constitutes aggression or
 1
 2
   active resistance?
 3
         No.
   Α
 4
         Why?
 5
         There's no -- again, there's no threat of
 6
    intentional bodily harm from a person just lifting their
 7
   head off a bunk.
 8
         All right. Let me ask you about some of the noise
 9
   you heard on the video. Did you hear Mr. Kingsley
10
   grunting and groaning?
         Sure.
11
         Did you hear him at one point swearing at the
12
13
   officers?
14
         Yes, I did.
    Α
         All right. Does Mr. Kingsley's yelling or grunting
15
16
   or groaning or swearing constitute a threat that would
   make you say he's exhibiting active resistance that
17
18
   would justify the use of a taser?
         That in and of itself, no.
19
20
    0
         Why not?
         Well, because other parts of our manual are just
21
22
   applications of what you learn in your experience is
23
   that people are going to say things and people are going
24
   to express their frustrations in a different way doesn't
25
   necessarily mean that they're a live threat to my bodily
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

harm. The old adage sticks and stones may break my bones, but names don't hurt me. You've got to have thick skin as a police officer.

- Q Are officers, in fact, taught appropriate verbal skills?
- A Yes, they are.
- Q In your opinion, were appropriate verbal skills used here?
- 9 A No.

- 10 Q And why is that?
 - A Well, when you're dealing with somebody who is agitated to this level and you have the ability to control them by outnumbering them in a semi-secure place of a county jail, talking to them and being more reasonable, when someone is shouting and another person is shouting, it just kind of escalates the tension in the environment.

I mean using a calmer approach -- and that's why in our Disturbance Resolution Manual we talk about that and the verbalization manual, we talk about using lesser means of verbalizing to try to de-escalate or calm a person.

Q All right. Do you know what the deputies have said in their incident reports about the reason why the taser was applied?

```
I read the incident reports, yes.
 1
   Α
 2
         Okay. And what is your understanding of the
 3
   reasoning described in the incident reports?
 4
         They described that there's resistance.
 5
         Okay. Did you understand as to whether they were
 6
    attempting to remove the handcuffs?
 7
         Yes.
    Α
 8
         Is it your understanding that they were attempting
 9
   to overcome resistance so that the handcuffs could be
10
   removed?
        Yes.
11
12
        All right. And in your view does that explanation
   comply with professional standards?
13
14
        No. If a person is actively resisting you and you
   feel this person is going to present bodily harm to you,
15
16
   I know I would question them why are you taking the
   handcuffs off. So they can become more combative and
17
18
   have more fighting skill? It just does not make sense
19
   to me.
20
        All right. Just assume for a second that
   Mr. Kingsley for some reason, he didn't want his
21
22
   handcuffs removed; he was, in fact, tensing them up and
23
   tried to prevent them from being removed. Are there
24
   other options that the deputies could have used in this
25
    situation instead of a taser?
```

Α Yes. 1 2 What? 3 I guess the next option is just time. Cooler 4 Trying to resolve it a little bit more 5 peacefully. Another option that was discussed was the 6 use of a chair. I don't know what all Monroe County has 7 available to them, but it's very clear to me that there 8 was no active resistance. Using a little bit more time 9 and diplomacy might have resolved this. 10 And again, just to be clear, is it preferable to leave somebody in handcuffs alone? 11 12 Α No. 13 What would you do, in fact, if you were to back off 14 a little bit? How would you handle that situation? Well, there's something that -- and I believe that 15 16 one of the -- that Lieutenant Conroy touched on override. Override is when you see -- and this is also 17 trained in other areas of the academies -- override is 18 when you see that officers are getting frustrated. 19 20 They're having problems dealing with somebody. So maybe 21 somebody, kind of a new face, a new approach can step in 22 there and try to take over. So there's an officer 23 override factor that, you know, maybe Lieutenant Conroy could have stepped in and said back out from here. 24 25 Mr. Kingsley, let's discuss this. Take a time out, if BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

you will. That's one area that you can use.

Another area is that you can maintain observation of him or maybe having somebody close by and just give him a little time right now. I just don't see that.

You know, what is the urgency here.

Q Okay. I'd like to ask you about the incident reports that you said you reviewed. First of all, are you -- are you familiar with procedures that officers use to write incident reports?

A Yes.

Q All right. And in general, how should an officer writing an incident report involving the use-of-force document that use?

A Well, they need to articulate their use of force. First thing they have to do is identify the actions factually as to why they had to use the force.

The next thing they do is they have to identify what tactics they used to respond to that level.

Last thing that they would do is to describe whether there was follow-through procedures, whether that's medical or just talking to the person, calming them down, whatever that they would use as well. All that has to be factual.

Q And in writing about an incident report, would you expect that an officer would sort of describe the worst $$\operatorname{BRIAN}\ LANDERS\ -\ DIRECT$

```
behavior that a subject had exhibited in justifying use
 1
 2
   of force?
        An officer would describe all the behavior that
 3
 4
   would go into their justification for the use of force.
 5
        Now, you've reviewed the incident reports filed by
 6
   the officers in this case; correct?
 7
        Yes, I have.
    Α
 8
        And in your view, did any of those reports describe
 9
   what you would characterize as active resistance?
10
   Α
        No.
        All right. I'd like to look at some of these
11
12
   reports if you can, so I'm going to ask you first to
   look to Plaintiff's Exhibit 12.
13
14
        Okay. I'm here.
   Α
15
        And could you describe to me what Plaintiff's
16
   Exhibit 12 is.
        It appears to be the incident report from Sergeant
17
18
   Hendrickson.
        And you considered this in forming your opinion?
19
20
   Α
        Right.
21
             MR. PARDON: We're going to move to admit this
22
   report.
23
             MR. JONES: No objection.
24
             MR. PARDON: If I may publish it to the jury
25
    then on the document camera. May I ask for -- may I
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

have it published to the jury, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Oh, yes. It's received.

MR. PARDON: Thank you.

BY MR. PARDON:

Q Could you turn to page three of the report. Now, this will be admitted, so the jury will get to look at it. So, I'm going to ask you to describe what is being — what it says here; and in fact, if you want to read it out loud, that's okay too.

A What I did in forming my opinion is I kind of broke these things down. For instance, the first sentence in there "Mr. Kingsley became physically resistive," comma. That is a very broad and vague interpretation. What is physically resistive? Struggling and trying to get up? I really didn't see that on the video. And again, it's just not well articulated here.

"He was told to stop, relax, and stop resisting numerous times." That's definitely documented on the video. He continued to resist and would not comply with any order given to him.

"I placed my knee and lower leg across

Mr. Kingsley's upper back and applied pressure to help

maintain control and keep him from resisting and

struggling with officers." Again, kind of vague and

not --

```
MR. JONES: I'm going to object to the
 2
    testimony. Vagueness of the report. It's irrelevant.
 3
             THE COURT:
                        I'm sorry, I can't hear you.
             MR. JONES:
                        Objection. Relevance.
 5
             THE COURT: Sustained.
   BY MR. PARDON:
 6
 7
         In your view, Mr. Landers, is this an adequate
 8
    description of Mr. Kingsley's behavior such that it
   would justify -- that would describe active resistance?
 9
10
                        Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
             MR. JONES:
             THE COURT:
                        Sustained.
11
   BY MR. PARDON:
12
         Okay. We can set this aside then for a second.
13
14
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, may I approach the
   bench for a second?
15
16
             THE COURT:
                        You may. Actually we'll take a
   recess at this time and we can take it up. We'll send
17
18
   the jury out and then we'll take it up. Fifteen
   minutes. Please remember not to talk about the case.
19
20
   Leave your notepads on your chairs.
21
         (Jury excused from courtroom at 3:13 p.m.)
22
             THE COURT: Mr. Pardon.
23
             MR. PARDON: I'm going to embarrass myself,
24
   Your Honor, and state that I don't understand the
25
    objection and I don't understand the ruling, and I'm
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

wondering if I could --

THE COURT: My understanding was that Mr. Jones was objecting to the fact that Mr. Landers was just reading the report and analyzing the report as to whether that was enough to justify the actions that were taken by the officers. It seemed to me that that was an appropriate objection. We saw what was happening in the video. At least that was my understanding of what you were objecting to Mr. Jones.

MR. JONES: That was my objection.

MR. PARDON: Okay. And I guess my point was that Mr. Landers is an instructor who knows the sorts of things that police officers are supposed to write in a report and how they're supposed to justify what they're doing, and in his view, the descriptions don't do that. That's what I was trying to get across. The descriptions do not adequately describe active resistance.

THE COURT: Well, that may be a failure of the report writer, but I think we should be looking at what actually happened and whether that justified what was done rather than Mr. Hendrickson's or somebody else's view or idea of what happened.

MR. PARDON: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll resume in 13 minutes.
BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

2-P-<u>9</u>1

```
3:15-3:28 \text{ p.m.}
         (Recess
 2
         (Jury brought in courtroom at 3:28 p.m.)
 3
             THE COURT: Mr. Pardon.
             MR. PARDON: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
   BY MR. PARDON:
 6
         Mr. Landers, are you aware that the night before
 7
    this incident Mr. Kingsley had made a comment about
 8
    calling out the CERT team?
 9
        Yes. I did read that in the report.
    Α
10
         Okay. Does this indicate to you that
   Mr. Kingsley's actions in the receiving cell represented
11
   a threat to the officers?
12
13
   Α
        No.
14
         And why not?
15
         Officers are judged on the use of force at the
16
   instant they use their force. They can use background
   information as precursory information or what we call
17
   threat assessment, but that statement was made many
18
   hours ago and it doesn't match the physical observations
19
20
    that they were presenting at the time.
         Now you heard testimony today about how
21
22
   Mr. Kingsley was not cooperating in terms of not
23
   following instructions; correct?
24
   Α
         Yes.
25
         All right. Does that mean that there's a potential
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

for threat or active resistance?

A No. There's a difference between a risk and a threat. Risk, I mean every police officer that walks around can say that everybody is a risk to them, therefore they can use whatever force they want. That's why we have justifications for force. That's why we have training. That's why we try to, you know, instill the theory in officers, starting out at the beginning of their careers in the academies, that there's a difference between a risk. Your job is inherently risky.

There's that, and then there's actual live threats.

Those threats are based upon your observations, your

training and experience, and you must react to them in a

very reasonable manner.

- Q Okay. Could you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 67, please.
- 18 A Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 19 Q Could you identify what Plaintiff's Exhibit 67 is?
- 20 A It's the Principles Of Subject Control Manual from 21 June of 2012.
- Q And is that sometimes referring to as the POSC Manual you described?
- 24 A Yes, it is.
- Q And did you utilize this Manual in forming your BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

```
opinions in this case?
 1
 2
        Yes, I did.
   Α
 3
             MR. PARDON: I move to admit Plaintiff's
 4
   Exhibit 67.
 5
             MR. JONES: I'm going to object on the grounds
 6
   that it's dated June 2012, two years after the incident.
 7
             MR. PARDON: I can do a follow-up question if
   you want.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right.
10
   BY MR. PARDON:
       Does the version that's dated 2012 differ
11
12
    significantly from the version that was in effect in May
   of 2010?
13
14
       No. They just basically try to make it more in
   line to the DAAT Manual.
15
16
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, they make it more what?
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. They were trying to
17
   make it more in line with the Defensive and Arrest
18
   Tactics Manual, but the theories and the tactics are
19
20
    still the same.
21
   BY MR. PARDON:
22
        Does it differ in any way that you believe is
23
   relevant to your assessment in this case?
24
   Α
        No, it does not.
25
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, I would move --
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

THE COURT: You still object? 2 I still object. MR. JONES: 3 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 4 BY MR. PARDON: 5 Okay. Could you turn to -- we'll close that off. Could you turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 10. That will 6 7 probably be in the first binder. 8 Actually before I ask you any questions about this 9 incident, I'd like to ask you of your knowledge with respect to the POSC Manual that was in effect as of May 10 2010. Does the POSC Manual say anything in particular 11 12 about the use of force in a jail setting that you think 13 is relevant to your field in this case? 14 Could you be more specific? 15 Does the POSC Manual say anything with respect to 16 the ability to wait out incidents that may be of 17 relevance to your opinion in this case? Yeah. Understand that both POSC and DAAT use the 18 Disturbance Resolution Model. The Disturbance 19 20 Resolution Model is that guide that I spoke of that each one of those draws from. And then the tactics on how 21 22 you apply your force are very similar in both areas. 23 What POSC kind of goes into more detail with is that 24 because you are working in a jail setting where although 25 people can have make-shift weapons, there are riots and BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
things like that, you're still working in a more
controlled environment than you are when you're working
in the street or a back alley or somebody's bedroom
trying to take them into custody. So there's a stronger
emphasis in POSC to weed out incidents instead of being
more quick to use force.
    Okay. Turning your attention to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 10 that I just asked you to look at. Could you
describe what Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 is.
     It's the Monroe County Jail Use-of-Force Policy.
     Did you take this into account in considering your
opinions in this case?
Α
    Yes, I did.
         MR. PARDON: Your Honor, I'm going to move to
admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 10.
         THE COURT:
                    Objection any?
         MR. JONES: No objection, Judge.
         THE COURT: Received.
BY MR. PARDON:
    All right. Did you review this policy?
    Yes, I did.
Α
     And how is this policy relevant to your opinion in
this case?
     It's relevant that by state, state statute, every
agency is required in the State of Wisconsin -- by every
                BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

agency I mean a law enforcement agency, including sheriff's departments as Monroe County is -- they're required by state statutory to have a policy on use of force. What policies are in the State of Wisconsin is they are expectations of performance for their employees.

So upon reviewing this, I basically wanted to put myself in the shoes of the Monroe County deputy as to what was their expectation of performance in this incident.

- Q Okay. Could you turn to page 36 of the policy.
- 12 A That would be Monroe 36?
 - Q Monroe 36. I'm sorry. It says Monroe and then there's a bunch of zeros and it ends in 36.
- 15 A Okay.

Q I'm calling your attention to this paragraph that's down at the bottom of the page that I have placed a yellow highlighter next to on the document camera here.

What is being described there?

- A It's being -- what's being described is -- it's an older version of the Disturbance Resolution Model.
- Q Okay. And does it continue on to page 37?
- 23 A Yes, it does.
 - Q Okay. And with respect to page 37, is there anything here that informs your opinion about whether BRIAN LANDERS DIRECT

```
the deputies complied with the Monroe County policy in
 1
 2
   this case?
         In particular attention is No. 4, "Intermediate
 3
 4
   weapon and the use of a stun device or an electronic
 5
    security belt."
 6
             MR. JONES: I'm going to object to the
 7
   testimony, Your Honor, as being irrelevant.
 8
             MR. PARDON: May I?
 9
             THE COURT: Mr. Pardon. Oh, I thought you
10
   wanted to be heard.
             MR. PARDON: I do.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
12
13
             MR. PARDON: I mean it's in his report and it's
   relevant to the expectations of how force was to be
14
15
   used.
16
             THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
   think this is appropriate testimony.
17
   BY MR. PARDON:
18
        Okay. You were referring to Sec. 4 I think of the
19
20
   report. How does that inform your opinions in this
21
   case?
22
        It informs my opinions that the expectation of
23
   performance by Monroe County employees was that the
24
   intermediate weapon, which would be in today's language
25
    -- actually language at the time, but it was not
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

updated, would be protective alternative. So what they've essentially done is they said that where the state has said that you can use a taser or a stun device at a level of control alternatives which is -- control alternatives would again be when you're facing active resistance or threat, they put it at one level even higher. Now --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, when you say they, who are you talking about?

THE WITNESS: Monroe County. I'm sorry, Ma'am. They put that at one level higher. So this is at a level that is in today's time, you would be faced with ongoing resistance or assaultive behavior in which you really have a definitive fear that you're going to be seriously hurt by this person.

So what Monroe County did is they told their deputies even though the state is saying this, you can use the taser at a lower level, we expect you to use it at an even higher level, which would be equal to use of a baton.

BY MR. PARDON:

Q All right. Set that aside then. Just briefly again then, could you, just to sum it up, summarize your opinion about why the use of the taser was not appropriate in this case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was no active resistance. There was no Α intentional threat of bodily harm against any of the deputies that were there. All right. I'd like to ask you about a second opinion you expressed in this case. You testified earlier that the handcuffs were improperly applied. Do you recall that? Α Yes, I do. All right. And that that, in fact, may have led to -- it was possible that that led to tension and pain on the part of Mr. Kingsley. Do you recall that? Α Yes. All right. And just to be clear, are you saying that there was resistive tension by Mr. Kingsley? I'm just saying that that was what was reported in the officers' reports. Now you said that the handcuffs were put on improperly and I'm wondering if you could just describe and perhaps demonstrate to the jury a proper means of putting on a handcuff. Okay. Handcuffs are generally placed on a person in either a compliant or noncompliant fashion, whatever the subject is exhibiting at the time. In a compliant fashion, officers are trained how to verbalize with the

person, how to stabilize a person, how they move in to BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

approach and then apply the handcuffs in a way that it's consistent with training.

One thing that -- let me jump to a noncompliant. noncompliant is usually trained when a person is on the ground, whether they were directed to the ground or forced to the ground. And then there's certain stabilization tactics that officers use to try to keep them on the ground so they can commence handcuffing. When the handcuffs are applied, there's two key things that must be done in both the compliant and noncompliant situation. And it has to be done when it's feasible; if there's time that allows this to occur. When a person is compliant -- when the handcuffs are applied in a compliant fashion, I really struggle to try to find a time when there's not enough time to do this, but the two things that they have to do is check the fit of the handcuffs and then do what we call a double or a safety locking of the handcuffs. What this does is it prevents the handcuffing from tightening up on the subject's wrist.

- Q Did you bring any handcuffs with you today that you could demonstrate that?
- A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARDON: Your Honor, if I may, I would like us to stand and perhaps Mr. Landers could just -- I'll BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

go like this and he can demonstrate on $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ hands. Would that be --

THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. PARDON:

You brought the keys, I hope.

A I did. The handcuffs have a single -- single strand and double strand and the teeth go in the ratchet. The handcuff -- obviously you can kind of see by the teeth of the ratchet, there's kind of a floating device in there that kind of catches it so it doesn't allow to pull back out. When you double lock it, you in essence put another catch in there in the teeth that don't allow it to move either out or forward so they can't tighten. So right now I'm checking both of these --

MR. JONES: Your Honor, could I ask that
Mr. Landers sort of orient himself so that my clients
can see what it is that he's doing? He has his back to
the defendants. Maybe if he stands in front of the
witness stand --

THE COURT: Right. Why don't you stay to the side of microphone. You can use the microphone on the witness stand. You can still be standing up. Just be sure that the jurors can see you.

THE WITNESS: Does this work better? Can you BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

correct?

still see? So I was just explaining that the handcuffs kind of ratchet through. And then by double locking, which different handcuffs have different mechanisms to double lock. In this particular model, there's a little hole there and usually the tip of a handcuff key has a pin on it, and by pressing the pin into the hole, it double locks it so it can't tighten up. BY MR. PARDON: Do you want to just illustrate how to apply them? THE COURT: Wait. The jurors have to see it. (Handcuffs are applied) That's how they're properly applied. I check for fit and I do a lock on the handcuffs. Could you please unlock them. (Handcuffs removed) Thank you. Mr. Landers, how do you know that the cuffs were not applied properly in this case? Two reasons. One is that they were not initially reported to be properly applied. Second is that I believe Deputy Blanton in his incident report, after the taser was applied, described that he then double locked the handcuffs at that time. Okay. So if he double locked the handcuffs at that time, that means that they weren't locked earlier;

A You can't double lock them twice.

Q Okay. And how could the improper handcuffing have contributed to any tension or pain in this case by Mr. Kingsley -- on Mr. Kingsley's part?

A They could be tightened with any amount of pressure on the single strand of the handcuff. The handcuffs are not comfortable to begin with. That is why it's very important and it's stressed in the training, the double lock or safety check, to check for fit, and double lock the handcuffs to prevent the handcuff from tightening, whether that's intentional or just through the movement of a person.

Q Okay. Does the fact that Mr. Kingsley didn't specifically complain to the deputies that his cuffs were too tight, does that have any effect on your opinion here?

A No.

Q And why not?

A Well, people will, I guess, take and relate pain in different manners. You know, my personal experience is that not everybody will say that they're in pain for whatever reason.

Q Okay. Were there any indications in the videotape to you that you witnessed that Mr. Kingsley may have had the handcuffs on too tight; that the handcuffs may have BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT

```
been on too tightly?
 1
 2
         There were several points in the video: The
 3
   growling; kind of the exertion of his voice in kind of a
 4
   grumbling manner would be an indicator to me that there
 5
   might be something wrong.
 6
    Q
         Okay. Could you turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 50.
 7
    Α
         Okay.
 8
         Could you identify that what that is?
 9
         This is the Monroe County Jail policy on the use of
    Α
10
   restraints.
         And did you consider this in forming your opinions
11
    in this case?
12
13
   Α
        Yes, I did.
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, I'd move to admit
14
   Plaintiff's Exhibit 50.
15
16
             MR. JONES: No objection.
             THE COURT: Received.
17
   BY MR. PARDON:
18
         Could you turn to page 60 of the report -- of the
19
20
   policy. It's the one that says Monroe 60 on the bottom.
21
         Okay.
   Α
22
         I've highlighted page 60 on the document camera
23
   here with my highlighter, that is now wearing out.
24
   Could you now describe this portion of the policy and
25
   how that affects your view in this case?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

handcuffs initially?

Again, the policy is an expectation of performance Α by the deputies that work there, and the expectation of performance was an employee should be watching for signs that the restraints were not applied appropriately. And is that what this policy says? Α Yes. You can set that aside. Now Mr. Landers, are there circumstances where it would be acceptable not to double lock or safety lock with handcuffs? Oh, definitely. What kind of circumstances would that be? When you're in -- you need to immediately remove somebody. For instance, if an officer is involved in a high speed chase and stops a vehicle and they're drawing a person out at gunpoint but there's other people in the vehicle that they're still worried about. There might be a case where another officer comes in and does the handcuffing of the first person drawn out and get them out of the line of fire and then do the safety lock and double locking, checking for fit once they're behind like another squad car or something that presents some cover to the officers. Do you believe there was any circumstance in this case that would justify not safety locking of the

```
1
   Α
        No.
 2
        And why is that?
 3
         They had plenty -- apparently plenty of time to
 4
   apply the fit and double lock the handcuffs.
 5
   didn't appear to be any rush.
 6
         In any of the incident reports you reviewed, was
 7
   there any documentation of any reason for not safety
    locking the handcuffs initially?
 8
        I saw no other pressing hazards.
 9
   Α
10
        Okay. I'd like to ask you now about a third
   opinion you expressed and that concerns the control
11
12
   tactics used by Sergeant Hendrickson in the receiving
   cell. Do you recall providing that opinion?
13
14
   Α
        Yes.
15
        Could you briefly just summarize that again?
16
        That the control tactics were unreasonable.
        Yes. And why is that?
17
        Some of the force used by Sergeant Hendrickson upon
18
   Mr. Kingsley was not articulated as to reasoning why,
19
20
   and then also the placement of his knee on
21
   Mr. Kingsley's spine/neck area.
22
        Okay. I'd like you to turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit
23
    108. That's likely in the second binder. It's in the
24
   third binder which you probably figured out by now.
25
         I found it.
    Α
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
Could you briefly describe what Plaintiff's Exhibit
 1
 2
   108 is.
        It's a diagram of a human body that is used in both
 3
 4
   the DAAT and POSC training that show sensitive areas on
 5
   the body.
 6
        All right. And did you consider this in forming
 7
   your opinion in this case?
 8
   Α
        Yes.
 9
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, I move to admit
10
   Plaintiff's Exhibit 108.
11
             MR. JONES: No objection.
             THE COURT: Received.
12
            MR. PARDON: Thank you.
13
   BY MR. PARDON:
14
        Okay. You now see Plaintiff's Exhibit 108 on the
15
16
   screen here. Could you describe what -- how this is
   relevant to your opinion in this case?
17
        Yeah. This diagram again is used in defensive
18
   tactics and in POSC to demonstrate areas on the human
19
20
   body that have a high propensity for injury. So
21
   therefore throughout the training, we teach the students
22
   that the application of force upon these vital areas
23
   must have a high level within a Disturbance Resolution
24
   Model.
25
         Okay. And are there areas here that are described
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

as areas where you have a high propensity for injury and would be better not to use -- not to apply pressure to?

- A Yes. Particularly the head, the neck, the spinal area, the center of the chest cavity and the groin.
- Q Okay. Thank you. I'm going to -- you can take this down, and I'm going to ask if we could now have the camera switched back to the laptop.

I'd like to look at the video again with you with respect to your third opinion and I'd like to discuss this with respect to your opinion about Sergeant Hendrickson's tactics. Let me just get this to the location I would like to stop it or start it with.

All right. For the record I've started it at 6:44:25 and I'm going to play it forward a little bit.

(Video played)

All right. Now I've now stopped the video at 6:44:30 and I'm wondering if you could describe what you've observed with respect to Sergeant Hendrickson's control tactics.

- A It appears as if his left foot is on the ground and his right knee is on the center of Mr. Kingsley's back or spine area.
- Q Do you know how long that remains there?
- A No. I believe at a certain point he moves it or shifts.

```
Okay. So we'll go ahead and I'm going to start the
 1
 2
   video again.
 3
         (Video played)
        All right. Now I've just stopped the video at
 5
    6:44:51 and I'm wondering if you could describe what you
 6
   have observed here and how that relates to your opinion
 7
   with respect to the control tactics.
         It appeared that Mr. Kingsley lifted his head off
 8
   the bunk and Sergeant Hendrickson's response was to -- I
 9
10
   don't know how to describe it other than a downward
   thrust or force of his head, neck or spine area back
11
   into the bunk, along with it appears both hands and his
12
   knee.
13
         Okay. And is this articulated anywhere in the
14
   reports that you -- anywhere in Sergeant Hendrickson's
15
16
   report?
17
   Α
        No, it's not.
        Should it be?
18
   Q
        It's an application of force. It should be.
19
20
        I'm going to play the video ahead for a little bit.
21
         (Video played)
22
         All right. Now, I've stopped it at 6:45:11.
23
   there anything that you observed in that segment that
24
   bears on the control tactics used by Sergeant
25
   Hendrickson?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
It appears that there appears to be several more
 1
   Α
 2
   motions. The video was hard to tell, but at least you
    can tell by the torso of Sergeant Kingsley that there
 3
    appears to be kind of a motion forward and downward --
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Did you mean -- you didn't mean
 6
    Sergeant --
 7
             THE WITNESS: I meant Sergeant Hendrickson.
    I'm sorry. Motions forward and downward by Sergeant
 8
 9
   Hendrickson on Mr. Kingsley, as well as the knee right
10
   now is somewhere near the upper torso or neck area.
             MR. JONES: Object to the testimony, Your
11
12
    Honor. From the video you can't tell where the knee is.
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, you can't tell what?
13
14
             MR. JONES: He just testified where Sergeant
15
    Hendrickson's knee was at this point in the video and my
16
    objection is --
             THE COURT: Sustained.
17
             MR. JONES: -- you really can't see that.
18
             THE COURT: Sustained.
19
   BY MR. PARDON:
20
21
         Okay. With respect to the downward thrusting
22
   motion that you described, should that be articulated in
23
   the incident reports?
24
             MR. JONES: Objection. Irrelevant.
25
             THE COURT:
                         Sustained.
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
BY MR. PARDON:
 1
 2
         Okay. I'm going to play the video ahead a little
 3
   bit.
         (Video played)
 5
         Now, can you observe Sergeant Hendrickson's knee at
    this -- the position of his right leg at this point?
 6
 7
         I can observe the position of his right leg appears
 8
    to be somewhere on Mr. Kingsley.
 9
        Now, I'm going to ask you to then watch the video
10
   and describe if there's any point at which you can
    identify where Sergeant Hendrickson's leg is and how
11
   that may impact your opinion with respect to the control
12
   tactics used.
13
         (Video played)
14
15
         Right there.
16
         Okay. And when you said right there, I've now
    stopped it at 6:46:24. I'm going to actually move it
17
    ahead a little bit.
18
19
         (Video played)
20
         And I've now stopped it at 6:46:26. Can you
    identify where Sergeant Hendrickson's knee is here?
21
22
             MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: Sustained.
24
   BY MR. PARDON:
25
         Do you have an opinion about whether the placement
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
of Sergeant Hendrickson's knee is appropriate here?
 1
 2
             MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Sustained.
 3
 4
   BY MR. PARDON:
 5
        All right. Now Mr. Kingsley testified that
 6
    Sergeant Hendrickson slammed his head into the bunk.
 7
   Are you saying that --
             MR. JONES: Objection. I don't think that was
 8
 9
   his testimony. Mischaracterizes the testimony.
10
             THE COURT: Sustained.
             MR. PARDON: I apologize. I didn't mean to
11
12
   mischaracterize any testimony.
   BY MR. PARDON:
13
14
        Are you saying that the two downward thrusting
   motions that you've observed here constitute slamming
15
16
   Mr. Kingsley's head into anything?
             MR. JONES: Objection.
17
             THE COURT: Sustained.
18
   BY MR. PARDON:
19
20
        Do the two downward thrusting motions that you
21
   described, are they a trained technique?
22
   Α
        No.
23
        And in your view, were they justified under the
24
   circumstances here?
25
   Α
        There was no articulation to what those were, so --
                    BRIAN LANDERS - DIRECT
```

```
MR. JONES: Objection. Move to strike.
             THE COURT: Overruled.
 3
             THE WITNESS: There were no articulations of
 4
   what those were in the report, so I don't know what that
 5
   tactic was or what the intent was.
   BY MR. PARDON:
 6
 7
       All right. And given the level of activity of
   Mr. Kingsley, do you believe that they fit -- were
 8
 9
   justified?
10
   Α
       No.
        All right. To finish up, I would just like, if you
11
12
   could reiterate for the jury -- well, that's fine. I'm
13
   not going to ask you that question. I have one final
14
   question.
15
        Can you explain why you agreed to testify in this
16
   case?
17
            MR. JONES: Objection. Relevance.
            THE COURT: Sustained.
18
19
            MR. PARDON: Okay. I have no further
20
   questions, Your Honor. (4:02 p.m.)
            THE COURT: Mr. Jones.
21
22
            MR. JONES: Thank you, Judge.
23
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
   BY MR. JONES:
24
25
        If you could bear with me for just one second as I
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
1
   get my place.
 2
         Certainly.
   Α
 3
         There have been a lot of -- if we could remove the
 4
   exhibit from the -- thank you.
 5
         You've been asked obviously a lot of questions
    about training in Wisconsin; correct?
 6
 7
         Correct.
    Α
 8
         And you were asked a number of questions about a
 9
   couple of policies of the Sheriff's Department or the
10
   Jail; correct?
        Correct.
11
        And I think you were asked some questions about
12
13
   some or at least one of the reports written by one of
   the officers; correct?
14
        Correct.
15
   Α
16
         In your experience -- well, one more question.
   also testified that certain elements of force that were
17
   used in this instance, in your opinion, were
18
   unreasonable; correct?
19
20
   Α
        Correct.
         In your experience, the fact that an officer does
21
22
   not follow his training to a tee, that does not
23
   necessarily mean that the force used by the officer was
24
   unreasonable; correct?
25
   Α
         Correct.
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
The fact that an officer does not follow his or her
department's policies, written policies to a tee, that
does not necessarily mean that any force used by the
officer was unreasonable; correct?
     Correct.
Α
     And an officer's report writing skills, whether
they're good or bad, does not mean necessarily that the
officer used unreasonable force; correct?
    Correct.
Α
    You offered an opinion about the way that the
handcuffs were applied in this incident; correct?
Α
     Yes.
    You did a demonstration with Mr. Kingsley's counsel
of how you might put a pair of handcuffs on an
individual; correct?
Α
     Yes.
     And when you did that, Mr. Pardon was standing with
his arms outstretched towards you; correct?
Α
    Correct.
     And his hands were a distance apart that you were
able to put the handcuffs on both; correct?
Α
     Correct.
     Mr. Pardon, as you were doing that, didn't move his
hands at all; did he?
    No, he did not.
Α
```

BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

```
He did not have his arms or hands extended at his
 1
 2
    sides; did he?
 3
         No, he did not.
    Α
 4
         He did not have the muscles in his arms flexed; did
 5
   he?
 6
         Not that I could feel.
    Α
 7
        And Mr. Pardon didn't move his hands at all; did
 8
    he?
 9
    Α
         No.
10
         And correct me if I'm wrong, but even with
    Mr. Pardon standing there with his hands outstretched
11
12
    and not moving, it's not all that easy to get the key in
13
    and out of the handcuff; is it?
         Generally speaking, no.
14
    Α
15
         It's a small hole and a small key; correct?
16
    Α
         Yeah.
         And if an individual is moving his arms around as
17
18
    you're trying to get a key in to get the handcuff off,
    that makes it tough to get the key into the hole;
19
20
    correct?
21
        Definitely.
    Α
22
         And if the handcuffs go on a subject where --
23
    strike that. Let me back up.
24
         The handcuffs have a flat face, correct, where the
25
    hole is for the key?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
1
   Α
         Yes.
 2
         And the hole for the key is on one side of the flat
 3
   face, but not the other; correct?
 4
         That all depends upon the model of the handcuff.
 5
         The ones you were using as a demonstration have a
6
   keyhole on one side only; correct?
 7
         Correct.
8
         Sometimes in a difficult situation an officer may
9
   put the handcuffs on where the face -- where the hole is
10
   is facing one way on one hand, but facing the other way
   on the other hand; correct?
11
12
   Α
        Sure.
         That can happen, can't it?
13
14
   Α
        Yes, it can.
15
         Particularly if the subject is making it difficult
16
   for the officer to put the handcuffs on in the first
17
   place; correct?
18
         It can happen in any application of handcuffs.
        And when that's true, the officer is going to have
19
20
   to go to one side and handcuff the other, and then the
21
   other side to unlock the second cuff; correct?
22
        Can you explain that? I don't know what you mean
23
   by one side.
24
         That question was not very good I'll admit.
25
   keyhole is facing one way on one cuff and the other way
```

BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

```
on the second cuff, the officer is going to have to go
 1
 2
    at it from both sides to get those cuffs unlocked;
    correct?
 3
 4
         On both sides of the handcuff.
 5
         Yes.
    Q
 6
    Α
         Yes.
 7
         Now the opinion you're offering is that -- well,
 8
    you obviously were not there; correct?
 9
         Correct.
    Α
10
         And you have no personal knowledge as to whether
    those handcuffs were double locked when Sergeant
11
12
    Hendrickson put them on Mr. Kingsley; correct?
13
    Α
         Correct.
14
         All you can go off of is what isn't in Sergeant
15
    Hendrickson's report and the wording of Deputy Blanton's
16
   report; right?
17
    Α
         Correct.
         And then the opinion you're offering is that if
18
    they were not double locked, it's possible that they
19
20
    tightened over the course of the events; correct?
21
         Correct.
    Α
22
         You are not telling us that you have any opinion as
23
    to whether or not the cuffs actually tightened.
24
         Correct.
    Α
25
         You don't have an opinion on that subject.
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

2-P-<u>1</u>19

```
Α
         No.
 1
 2
         Can't say one way or the other.
 3
         No, I can't.
   Α
 4
         And you have no personal knowledge as to whether
 5
   the cuffs were actually checked by Sergeant Hendrickson
 6
   for fit; correct?
 7
         Correct.
 8
         You talked about how if handcuffs aren't double
 9
   locked, certain movements or pressure on the cuff may
10
   cause it to tighten; correct?
         Correct.
11
        And I would assume that there are lots of variables
12
13
   where there might be pressure on a handcuff such that if
14
   it's not tightened -- if it's not locked, it might
   tighten; correct?
15
16
         Correct.
         And if a handcuffed prisoner declines to walk and
17
   officers carry the prisoner as a result, that might
18
   cause the handcuffs to tighten; is that what you're
19
20
   saying? Or would you agree?
         I would say it's possible.
21
22
         It's possible. If a handcuffed prisoner pulls his
23
   hands or arms apart while he's handcuffed, that might
24
   cause the cuffs to tighten if they're not double locked;
25
    correct?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
If there's exerting force upon the single strand,
   Α
 1
 2
   then yes, it's possible.
         If a handcuffed prisoner moves his hands around a
 3
   lot when they're not double locked, that might cause the
 4
 5
    cuffs to tighten; correct?
 6
         If there's exerting force upon the single strand.
 7
         Same thing if a prisoner moves his torso or his
 8
   upper body around if the handcuffs aren't double locked;
 9
   correct?
10
   Α
         Same response.
        It's possible.
11
12
   Α
         It's possible.
13
         But absent some sort of defect in the cuffs, if
   they are double locked they will not tighten; correct?
14
         Correct.
15
    Α
16
         Regardless of what the officer does and regardless
17
   of what the subject does; correct?
18
         If they are properly double locked and there's no
    defect in the cuff, then they should not tighten.
19
20
         So if Sergeant Hendrickson hadn't double locked the
   cuffs back in the original cell back in south block,
21
22
   they would not have tightened over the course of the
   events, assuming there wasn't a defect with the cuffs?
23
24
         Correct.
   Α
25
         And if an officer does double lock the cuffs, he
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
would have no reason to suspect that they were
 1
 2
   tightening; correct?
 3
         That they were tightening?
 4
         Yes.
 5
        Correct.
   Α
 6
         And if he double locks them and checks them for
 7
   fit, he would have no reason to believe that the fit
 8
   would change, would he?
         If he was the one who did it; correct.
 9
   Α
10
        He could basically rule out the handcuffs
   tightening as a reason for however the subject was
11
12
   behaving; correct?
        Unless there was a defect with the cuff.
13
         Now obviously you've both today and before today
14
15
   seen and heard the recording of what occurred in the
16
   receiving cell; yes?
17
   Α
         Yes.
         And you would agree that there's nothing that
18
   Mr. Kingsley said that was recorded to the effect that
19
20
   -- where he was telling the officers verbally that the
21
   handcuffs were too tight; correct?
22
         He didn't make any statements like that, no.
23
        He didn't say, in other words, "the handcuffs are
24
   too tight."
25
    Α
         Correct.
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Now obviously he made sounds while he was in the
 1
 2
   receiving cell. We hear that on the recording; correct?
 3
         Correct.
    Α
 4
         And you testified today that you thought those
 5
   sounds were indicative of him being in pain; correct?
 6
             MR. PARDON: Objection. Mischaracterizes the
 7
   testimony.
             THE COURT: Sustained.
 8
 9
   BY MR. JONES:
10
        Do you know one way or the other what the sounds
   that Mr. Kingsley was making meant?
11
12
   Α
         No.
        And that's true even though you've looked at that
13
14
   video and listened to that audio on numerous times;
15
   correct?
16
   Α
        Correct.
         You obviously agree that the officers were trying
17
   to take the handcuffs off; correct?
18
         That's what was reported.
19
20
         And you have no reason to doubt that?
         No, I don't.
21
   Α
22
         And you would agree that being in handcuffs can be
23
   dangerous for a person; yes?
24
         In certain situations, yes.
   Α
25
         If you're left in a cell -- I'll be more specific.
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
If you're left in a cell like that receiving cell with
   your hands handcuffed behind your back, that can pose a
   risk to you as the person in handcuffs; yes?
        There would have to be more factors.
        A person in handcuffs with their hands handcuffed
   behind their back, they lose the ability to maintain
   their balance with their arms and hands; correct?
        I guess first, I can't testify what a person -- the
   balance or dexterity of a person. Are you saying this
   person left alone?
        Yes.
        A person being guarded? I guess I don't know what
   your question is.
        All right. I'll try to be more specific. If a
   person is left alone, no one in the cell with him, in a
16
   receiving cell like the one used in this case with their
   hands cuffed behind their back, is that person at any
17
   risk in that situation?
   Α
        Yes.
        And the risk is that the person could stumble or
   lose their balance, being handcuffed behind their backs,
   and injures themselves as a result; correct?
        Correct.
        You have never worked as a corrections officer, am
   I right?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Correct.
 1
   Α
 2
         And the Wisconsin Dells Police Department where you
 3
   worked for a number of years does not operate a jail;
 4
   correct?
 5
         Correct.
   Α
 6
         The police department has a holding cell; correct?
 7
    Α
         Two.
 8
         Two holding cells. I stand corrected. And those
 9
   holding cells are used to detain people who have been
10
   arrested before they're transported to a secure lockup;
   correct?
11
12
   Α
        Correct.
         And people don't stay in those holding cells for
13
   more than four hours; correct?
14
15
         Correct.
   Α
         So what did you mean when you testified that in
16
   your experience you have administered a lockup?
17
         We still have to go through a booking procedure.
18
   We still have to put people in and take people out of
19
20
   that cell.
21
         So what you were testifying to is administering, so
22
   to speak, those temporary holding cells at the police
23
   department?
24
         Yes.
   Α
25
         Now I think you talked about, when talking about
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
your experience, experience you've had with jails run by
 1
 2
   the four counties that are contiguous with Wisconsin
 3
   Dells; correct?
 4
         Correct.
 5
         You never actually worked in any of those jails;
 6
   correct?
 7
    Α
         No.
 8
         My statement was correct?
 9
         Well, I've never worked for those jails. I was
   Α
10
   employed when I was in those jails and whether I was
   booking or interviewing, I mean that's technically
11
12
   working in that jail.
13
        You didn't work as a jailer in one of those jails;
14
   did you?
        No, I did not.
15
16
        You do not have any experience using a taser or
   another electronic control device in a jail setting, do
17
18
   you?
19
    Α
         No.
20
         When officers are trained to get their
   certification as corrections officers in Wisconsin, they
21
22
   attend something that called jail school; right?
23
         I guess for point of clarification, I think of a
24
   connectional officer as somebody who works in a jail or,
25
    I'm sorry, a prison.
                          So I would --
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Let me ask it a different way because you can say
 1
 2
   that. When someone becomes trained in Wisconsin to be a
 3
    jailer, so to work in a county jail --
 4
        Yes.
 5
        -- that training is through what people generally
 6
   refer to as jail school; correct?
 7
        Yes.
   Α
 8
        And that's a training program that lasts more than
 9
   100 hours; correct?
10
        I'd say there are 120 or 160 hours, depending upon
11
   the program.
        It changes from time to time; correct?
12
13
       It doesn't change, it just depends on what
   technical college or what academy, how they facilitate
14
15
   the program.
16
        You have never taught any courses as part of that
   formal jail school; correct?
17
        Correct.
18
   Α
        We've talked about something called POSC,
19
   Principles of Subject Control; yes?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        And that is a training guide that is used to train
23
   jailers, if I've got the phrase right, in Wisconsin;
24
   yes?
25
        Yes.
   Α
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
You have never taught any courses about the POSC
 1
 2
   training guide; correct?
 3
   Α
        Correct.
        And there was -- you were asked some questions
 4
 5
   about your role in developing the DAAT training guide;
 6
   yes?
 7
       Yes.
   Α
 8
        And your name is, in fact, in the DAAT training
 9
   guide; correct?
10
   Α
        Correct.
11
        Your name is not in the POSC training guide, is it?
12
   Α
        No, it's not.
13
       You were not involved in writing or developing
14
   POSC, were you?
15
   Α
        No.
        And jail school does not include training under the
16
   DAAT training guide, does it?
17
        Is it specific -- are you saying is DAAT taught in
18
   the jail school?
19
20
        Do they use DAAT, the training guide itself, in
   jail school?
21
22
        Well, the current POSC is based upon the DAAT
23
   training.
24
        But they use POSC, it's not DAAT.
25
   Α
        Correct.
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Your highest level of education is a bachelor of
 1
 2
   science?
 3
   Α
        Correct.
 4
       Have you ever published any professional articles
 5
   or textbooks?
 6
   Α
        No.
 7
        And you're serving as a paid expert in this
 8
   instance; correct?
 9
   Α
        Yes.
10
       I'd like to ask you about some materials in POSC.
   If I could show you Exhibit 517. It will actually show
11
12
   up on -- it should show up on your screen.
        Do you recognize at least the first page of this
13
   exhibit?
14
        It's the POSC Manual from June 2009.
15
16
        So was this the manual that was in place and being
   used at the time of the incident with Mr. Kingsley in
17
   May 2010?
18
       It should have been.
19
20
   Q If I could refer you --
21
            MR. JONES: Actually I would move the admission
22
   of 517, Your Honor.
23
            MR. PARDON: No objection.
24
            THE COURT: Received.
25
   BY MR. JONES:
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
If I could refer you to page two. Under POSC,
 1
 2
    jailers in Wisconsin are trained that they are
 3
   authorized to use force to obtain legitimate
 4
   correctional objectives; correct?
 5
         Correct.
   Α
 6
         That's on the bottom of page two? I'll highlight
 7
   that for you.
 8
   Α
         Yeah, I see it. Correct, I'm sorry.
 9
         And under POSC, jailers are trained that legitimate
10
   correctional objectives include moving an inmate, an
   unwilling inmate from one location to another; correct?
11
         That's correct.
12
13
        And they're also trained that a legitimate
14
   objective for the use of force would be to prevent an
    inmate from harming himself or herself; correct?
15
16
         That's correct.
         They're also trained the legitimate objective for
17
   using force is to gain control of a resistive or
18
    combative inmate?
19
20
   Α
        Correct.
21
         And they're also trained that a legitimate
22
   objective to use force is to defend yourself or
23
   themselves from physical assault.
24
   Α
         Correct.
25
         Patrol officers in Wisconsin, so law enforcement
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
officers out patrolling the community, they receive
 1
 2
    similar training under DAAT; correct?
        Correct.
 3
   Α
 4
         They are trained that they can use, legitimately
 5
   use force to obtain and achieve control of a resistive
6
   subject?
 7
        Correct.
8
        And they are trained that they can legitimately use
9
   force to defend themselves or others?
10
   Α
        Correct.
        Force is obviously necessary at times; correct?
11
12
   Α
         Yes.
         There are times when even the most skilled officer,
13
   corrections officer, jailer or a law enforcement officer
14
   is unable to get voluntary compliance from a subject;
15
16
   yes?
17
   Α
        Yes.
        And in those instances, force is sometimes
18
   necessary to get that control and achieve a legitimate
19
20
   law enforcement objective; yes?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        You talked about a Disturbance Resolution Model;
23
   yes?
24
   Α
        Yes.
25
         And there was testimony about different modes
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
1
   within the Disturbance Resolution Model?
 2
        Yes.
   Α
 3
        And one of the modes would be presence; yes?
 4
   Α
        Yes.
 5
        A second would be dialogue?
   Q
 6
   Α
        Yes.
 7
        A third would be control alternatives?
   Q
 8
   Α
        Yes.
 9
        A fourth would be protective alternatives?
   Q
10
   Α
        Yes.
11
   Q
        Such as the use of a baton?
12
   Α
        Correct.
13
        And the first and highest would be the use of
   deadly force; correct?
14
        Correct.
15
   Α
        Control alternatives. That's in the middle of this
16
   force Disturbance Resolution Model; yes?
17
        Yes.
18
   Α
19
         So in theory, that comes after presence and
20
   dialogue; yes?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        And control alternatives includes tasers; yes?
23
   Α
        Yes.
24
        It includes all forms of electronic control
   devices; yes?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Yes.
   Α
 1
 2
         But officers are trained -- let me be more
 3
   specific. Jailers are trained that they do not need to
 4
   go step-by-step through that Disturbance Resolution
 5
   Model; correct?
 6
   Α
        Correct.
 7
         They do not have to necessarily first use presence
8
   or dialogue before they can use a control alternative
9
   like a taser; correct?
10
        Correct.
   Α
         You've offered an opinion about the control
11
12
   techniques used by Sergeant Hendrickson in the receiving
   cell; correct?
13
14
   Α
         Correct.
15
         And that has specifically to do with wherever he
16
   had placed his knee on Mr. Kingsley or his shin on
   Mr. Kingsley; correct?
17
18
   Α
        Partly, yes.
         Okay. And the other part had to do with what
19
20
   you've described, I think, as downward motions by Mr. --
   Sergeant Hendrickson on Mr. Kingsley; correct?
21
22
   Α
         Correct.
23
        Now you testified, when Mr. Pardon was asking you
24
   questions, that you weren't sure what those downward
25
   motions by Sergeant Hendrickson were; didn't you?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Α
         Yes.
 1
 2
         And I think your words were they weren't well
 3
   articulated; correct?
 4
         I'd have to refresh, but something to that, yes.
 5
         And you're telling us as you're sitting here today
 6
   that you're not sure what Sergeant Hendrickson was doing
 7
   at that point; correct?
 8
   Α
         Correct.
 9
         And you would agree that it is difficult to tell on
10
   the video exactly what was occurring at that point;
   correct?
11
12
       Correct.
        Even though you don't know what he was doing and
13
   it's hard to tell on the video what was occurring,
14
   you're offering us the opinion, the sworn testimony that
15
16
   you believe that force was unreasonable?
17
             MR. PARDON: Objection.
             THE COURT: Overruled.
18
   BY MR. JONES:
19
20
         Is that correct?
21
        Correct.
   Α
22
         Now are you aware that Sergeant Hendrickson has
23
   said that he had his leg across Mr. Kingsley's shoulders
24
   and upper back and not directly on his spine or neck?
25
   Are you aware of that?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Can you repeat that question?
 1
   Α
 2
         Sure. Are you aware that Sergeant Hendrickson has
 3
    said that he didn't have his knee directly on
 4
   Mr. Kingsley's spine or neck, but instead he had it
 5
   across his shoulders or upper back?
 6
   Α
         I guess I'm not certain where he said that.
 7
         So you don't know one way or the other.
 8
   Α
         That's not what I'm saying.
 9
         You're not sure if he said that -- has said that?
    0
10
         I'm not certain that he said that, no.
   Α
         And you obviously would agree -- we've watched the
11
12
    video -- that it's very difficult to tell exactly where
13
    Sergeant Hendrickson's leg is on Mr. Kingsley for a
14
    great deal of the video; correct?
15
             MR. PARDON: Objection. He didn't watch --
16
             THE COURT: Overruled.
17
             THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
18
             MR. JONES: Sure. Gladly.
19
    BY MR. JONES:
20
         You would agree that it is extremely hard to
   tell -- strike that. Let me rephrase.
21
22
         You would agree that it is hard to tell where
23
   Mr. Hendrickson's shin or leg is on Mr. Kingsley for a
24
   great deal of the video; correct?
25
         I am basing my opinion that there is a length of
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time on the video where it's clear to me that the knee is over his spine. Do you mean over his spine or on his spine? It appears to be on his spine. Do you mean that the knee was directly on the spine or do you mean just that the leg was across his upper back? From the video that I witnessed, it appeared to me that the knee was on his spine. So when we go to the video or if we look at the video, what you're saying is that we're going to be able to see that Mr. -- Sergeant Hendrickson's knee, so the knee itself, was directly on Mr. Kingsley's spine? That's my observation. And so necessarily, because of anatomy, that means that you say you can see the knee was directly in the middle of Mr. Kingsley's back. That's my observation. And do you know whether Mr. Kingsley moved at all underneath Sergeant Hendrickson when he had his knee wherever it was on him? At the point of the video where I can see the knee on the spine, it did not appear that Mr. Kingsley moved. Well sure, if you stop the video. So that, as you

say, you can see where his knee was, he's obviously not BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

moving when you stop the video; yes?

A Correct.

- Q And so are you saying that you can tell one way or the other when you restart the video whether
- Mr. Kingsley was moving underneath Sergeant Hendrickson?
- A When you back that video up, it does not appear that the torso is moving.
- Q And your testimony is that you can actually see the torso one way or the other?
- A At certain points throughout the video you can.
 - Q And you can tell that the torso wasn't moving at all in between those points on the video?
 - A Well, considering that there's -- that you have Sergeant Hendrickson in that position, you have Deputy Blanton in that position, and Deputy Shisler in that position, one area that we're looking at is what is the reaction of those three people on Mr. Kingsley. If he is moving his torso about, I would expect to see them to be moving with him.
 - Q What I'm actually asking you though is whether you can see the torso moving given where the officers are in between Mr. Kingsley and the camera. That's what I'm asking you.
 - A At certain points you can.
- Q And in between those points, your testimony is that BRIAN LANDERS CROSS

you can tell -- you can actually see whether or not 1 2 Mr. Kingsley's upper body is moving? In between certain points, no, you can't. It's 3 obstructed by the other deputies. 4 5 And so for much of the video, you can't actually tell whether Mr. Kingsley is moving his torso, can you? 6 7 Tell or observe? Can you tell? 8 All right. I'll ask a better question. For much 9 of the video you can't actually see whether or not Mr. Kingsley's torso is moving underneath Sergeant 10 Hendrickson? 11 12 Α No. I'd like to go back to the video. I'm actually 13 14 going to start it at 6:44:25, close to there. So 6:44:30. That's where I've stopped it. And I believe 15 16 you testified when Mr. Pardon was asking you questions that you can see that Sergeant Hendrickson's knee is 17 directly in the center of Mr. Kingsley's back and on his 18 spine; is that correct? That's what you testified to? 19 20 I would have to, I guess, hear my testimony again regarding that specific question. 21 22 Okay. Well --23 MR. PARDON: And I'll object because this is 24 not the point at which I asked the question. 25 Well, let me ask you, because the record will show BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

```
what it shows. But at 6:44:30, can you tell where
 1
 2
    Sergeant Hendrickson's knee is, whether it's on the
 3
    spine or someplace else?
 4
         I believe it's on his back.
 5
         On his back. But you're not saying it's directly
 6
   on his spine?
 7
        It does not appear to be directly centered on his
 8
   back, no.
 9
         It's across his upper back from one side to the
10
   other; correct?
         I don't know where exactly his weight distribution
11
12
   is, but appears to be that the knee is over or on the
13
   back.
14
         His leg from his knee to his ankle is placed across
   Mr. Kingsley's back; correct?
15
16
         Well, from his right side, yes.
         From Mr. Kingsley's right side to some point on the
17
   left side of the upper back; correct?
18
19
         To the center left, yes.
20
         And I think you've just testified to this, but you
21
   don't know how much weight Sergeant Hendrickson is
22
   actually putting on Mr. Kingsley at this point; do you?
23
         No.
24
         And that's true the entire time in the receiving
25
    cell that he has his leg or shin on Mr. Kingsley's back;
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
correct?
 1
 2
    Α
         Correct.
 3
         I'm going to play it forward to 6:44:51.
 4
         (Video played)
 5
         Stop there. So I believe you testified that at
 6
    this point, 6:44:51 and just immediately before there,
 7
    Sergeant Hendrickson pushed down in what you described
    as a not well articulated motion on his --
 8
 9
    Mr. Kingsley's head, neck or spine. That was your
10
   testimony; correct?
         Correct.
11
         And your testimony -- why don't we go back a few
12
    seconds and play it again.
13
         (Video played)
14
15
         Your testimony is you can tell it was on his head,
16
   neck or spine and not on his back?
         I said it appears to be in that area.
17
18
         You said in the area of his head, neck or spine;
19
    correct?
20
         In the area, yes.
21
         Okay. It could also have been on his upper back;
22
   correct?
23
         Could have been.
24
         It could have been on his shoulders; correct?
    Q
25
         Could have been.
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
By the way, at any point up until this point in the
 1
 2
   video, did you get any more clarity as to where exactly
   his leg was on Mr. Kingsley's back?
 3
 4
        Up until this point? I would have to, I guess,
 5
   review the -- can you --
 6
             MR. JONES: I'll withdraw the question.
 7
         Thank you.
    Α
 8
         We'll continue forward from here.
 9
         (Video played)
10
         At any point between when we first started playing
   back again and now, can you tell where Sergeant
11
   Hendrickson's leg is on Mr. Kingsley?
12
         Specifically, no.
13
   Α
        You can't see.
14
15
   Α
         No.
16
         (Video played
                        6:45:45)
         Can you tell at all as we play it forward whether
17
   Mr. Kingsley is moving?
18
19
    Α
         No.
20
         Can you see him moving?
         Does not appear that he's moving.
21
   Α
22
         (Video played 6:45:56)
23
         I asked you could you tell; could you see whether
24
   he was moving.
25
             MR. PARDON:
                          Objection.
                                       Is it tell or see?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
MR. JONES: Okay, I may have asked different --
 1
 2
   used different words.
 3
   BY MR. JONES:
 4
         Can you see at any point as we've been playing the
 5
   video forward from where we first started whether or not
   Mr. Kingsley is moving under Sergeant Hendrickson?
 6
 7
        I can see a portion of Mr. Kingsley's thigh and
 8
   that does not appear to be moving.
 9
         Are you saying --
    Q
10
         I also don't see any of the deputies controlling
   the torso to be moving.
11
12
         Can you see Mr. Kingsley here?
13
   Α
         I can see a portion of Mr. Kingsley. I can see the
   red jail suit between Deputy Shisler and Deputy Blanton.
14
15
         Right now?
    Q
16
   Α
         On my video I can.
         Can you just use words, tell us where you see it?
17
         To the left shoulder going down towards the bicep
18
   elbow area of Deputy Blanton and to the right shoulder
19
20
   going down to the elbow area of Deputy Shisler it
    appears that there's the red jumpsuit of Mr. Kingsley.
21
22
         And your testimony is that you're able to tell from
23
   there whether or not you can actual see Mr. Kingsley
24
   moving?
25
         You've asked me if I can see Mr. Kingsley and I can
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
see a portion of Mr. Kingsley.
 1
 2
         Can you tell from what you can see of Mr. Kingsley
 3
   what he was doing with the officers?
 4
         It appears that he's laying face down on the bunk.
 5
         Can you see from that little bit whether or not
 6
   he's moving?
 7
         That portion right there does not appear to be
 8
   moving.
 9
         (Video continued 6:46:29)
10
        At any point up until we just stopped it now, is it
   your testimony you can tell that Sergeant Hendrickson's
11
   knee is directly centered on Mr. Kingsley's spine?
12
13
       If you back up, I believe that was the point of my
   earlier testimony. I said it appeared to me that his
14
   right knee appeared to be by the spine.
15
        See if we capture it here.
16
        (Video played)
17
        Right there. (6:46:26)
18
         So your testimony is that you can tell that
19
20
   Sergeant Hendrickson's knee in that picture is directly
   over Mr. Kingsley's spine?
21
22
   Α
         It appears to me it is.
23
         Okay. Do you know how much pressure Sergeant
24
   Hendrickson was exerting with his lower leg at that part
25
    of the video?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
No.
 1
    Α
 2
             MR. JONES: We can close out the video.
 3
         Officers are trained in Wisconsin that it's okay to
 4
    use their legs to stabilize subjects to the ground when
 5
    they are using handcuffing techniques; correct?
 6
             THE COURT: I'm sorry, when they are using
 7
    what?
 8
             MR. JONES: Handcuffing techniques.
 9
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
    BY MR. JONES:
11
        You have said as much in your written opinions in
12
    this case; correct?
13
         I'm sorry, what was that again, sir?
14
         You wrote a report with your opinions in this case;
15
    correct?
16
    Α
         Correct.
         I'd like to show you a portion -- I'd like to show
17
    you Plaintiff's Exhibit 43. This is the first page of
18
19
    your written report, right, Mr. Landers?
20
    Α
         Yes.
21
         I'd like to refer you to page 15.
    Q
22
    Α
         Okay.
23
         And this is still in your written report; correct?
24
    Α
         Yes.
25
         You wrote in your written report in this case as
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
follows, am I right? I'll just go ahead and read at the
bottom of page 15. "The use of one's leg and weight is
acceptable in ground handcuffing and multiple officer
ground handcuffing techniques to stabilize a person to
the ground when they are resisting or potentially
dangerous." Correct? You wrote that.
     Correct.
     You went on to write: "The placement of any
portion of the leg or knee is specifically advised to
remain off the spine and neck area and to be placed upon
the opposite shoulder muscle; not -- to not only provide
greater control, but to also reduce potentially -- a
potential of injury." Correct?
Α
     Correct.
     Those were your words; correct?
Α
     Correct.
     Now there's no evidence that Sergeant Hendrickson
actually struck Mr. Kingsley; is there?
     Nothing that I observed, no.
Α
Q
     He didn't punch him.
     Nothing that I observed or what was reported, no.
Α
     He didn't hit him in the head?
Q
     Nothing reported.
Α
     Didn't hit him or punch him in the spine; correct?
Q
     Nothing reported.
Α
```

BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

```
You are familiar with the term unarmed strike
 1
 2
    technique?
 3
         Yes.
    Α
         That's essentially when an officer uses his or her
 4
 5
    empty hand to strike a subject; correct?
 6
    Α
         In general, yes.
 7
         And there's no evidence that Sergeant Hendrickson
 8
    used any unarmed strike techniques on Mr. Kingsley;
 9
    correct?
10
         There was nothing reported.
             MR. JONES: If we could pull up Exhibit 108,
11
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 108. Publish it to the jury if we
12
13
    can.
14
         Exhibit 108 again was this diagram of target areas;
15
    correct?
16
    Α
         Correct.
         And it specifically identifies that the target
17
    areas for unarmed strike techniques; correct?
18
19
    Α
         Correct.
20
         A focused strike, is that another name for an
21
   unarmed strike technique?
22
    Α
         Yes.
23
         So focused strikes were not used here; correct?
24
         There was nothing reported.
    Α
25
         You didn't see anything on the video either?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
That wasn't a trained focus strike?
 1
   Α
 2
         That was a focused strike.
 3
         No.
   Α
 4
         If we could pull up Exhibit 517 at page 57.
 5
   is page 57 of the POSC Manual; correct?
 6
   Α
         Correct.
 7
         And we actually go back to 56. It's a section that
   starts on 56 and rounds off to 57 talking about active
 8
 9
   counter measures; correct?
10
        Correct.
   Α
         Which would include blocking and striking
11
12
   techniques; yes?
        Yes.
13
   Α
14
         So defensively blocking someone or striking them;
15
   correct?
16
   Α
         Correct.
        If we then go to page 57, the second paragraph, if
17
   we can highlight that paragraph. The first -- the top
18
   paragraph on what's shown, this is the POSC training
19
20
   guide talking about focused strikes; correct?
         Correct.
21
   Α
22
         And it refers to an Appendix F for target areas for
23
   focused strikes; correct?
24
   Α
         Correct.
25
         And that Appendix F is Exhibit 108 that we were
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
talking about previously; correct?
 1
 2
         Yes, it is.
    Α
 3
         So the POSC guide is training officers how to use
 4
    focused strikes and it gives them a guide as to where
 5
    they should or shouldn't hit someone; correct?
 6
    Α
         Correct.
 7
        And it uses an exhibit, the one that you referred
 8
    to, as an exhibit about where they should or shouldn't
 9
   hit someone; correct?
10
         In this instance, yes.
         And no one hit anyone in what happened with
11
12
    Mr. Kingsley in the receiving cell; correct?
13
    Α
         Nothing that was reported.
         Officers are trained at times that it is
14
    appropriate to use their hands to stabilize or restrain
15
16
    a subject's head; correct?
17
    Α
         Yes.
18
         There are times where that might be appropriate?
19
    Α
         Yes.
20
         And there are times when it's appropriate to use
21
    what I think you referred to as a pressure point where
22
    you are directly placing pressure on a very specific
23
    part of an individual's head; correct?
24
         Correct.
    Α
25
         And in fact, just below the jaw line; correct?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
1
         That's one, yes.
   Α
 2
    Q
         That's one pressure point.
 3
         Right.
   Α
 4
         And that's trained to officers in the State of
 5
   Wisconsin?
 6
   Α
        Yes.
 7
         And that is a recognized use of force; a trained
 8
   use of force.
 9
   Α
         Yes.
10
         And a pressure point technique, that falls within
   control alternatives; correct?
11
12
   Α
        Yes.
13
         That is a technique that can be used to respond to
14
   passive resistance; correct?
15
        Yes.
   Α
16
        So at a lower level than an ECD; correct?
17
   Α
        Yes.
        You offered the opinion, I think, that the officers
18
   might have been more patient with Mr. Kingsley; correct?
19
20
        Can you repeat that? I'm sorry. I couldn't hear
21
   the last part.
22
         I'm sorry. I was probably looking down. You
23
   offered the opinion that the officers should have used
24
   more patience with Mr. Kingsley; correct?
25
   Α
         That was an option.
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
You're saying it's an option. Are you also saying
 1
 2
   that they should have done that or not?
             I'm saying it was asked of me what would have
 3
 4
   been an option and based upon my training and
 5
   experience, I felt that was an option.
 6
        But you're not telling us the opinion -- that you
 7
   have the opinion that they should have used more
 8
   patience. You're just saying it's an option.
        Based on my training and experience that probably
 9
   Α
10
   would have been the prevailing option.
         I think your words were they should have used a
11
12
   calmer approach; correct?
13
   A Correct.
        Now from your understanding of events, you
14
   recognize that four different officers went to speak to
15
16
   Mr. Kingsley about him removing the paper from the
   light; correct?
17
        Correct.
18
   Α
        And that was over the course of something like
19
20
   eight to ten hours; correct?
21
        Correct.
   Α
22
         It was only after they had -- four different people
23
   had gone to talk to him about that that they decided to
24
   actually move him from the cell; correct?
```

BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

25

Α

Correct.

```
And you're not offering the opinion that they
 1
 2
    shouldn't have moved him; correct?
         No.
 3
    Α
 4
         Now, we've talked about previously that it's
 5
    potentially dangerous to leave an inmate in a receiving
 6
    cell or any cell with handcuffs on; correct?
 7
         Unattended?
 8
    Q
         Sure.
 9
    Α
         Yes.
10
         And so at least in theory it was a good idea for
    the officers to try and take the handcuffs off
11
   Mr. Kingsley when they put him in the receiving cell;
12
    correct?
13
14
    Α
        Yes.
15
         And you obviously agree or you heard that they told
16
   him a number of times to relax so they could take the
   cuffs off; yes?
17
18
    Α
        Yes.
19
         And for whatever reason, that wasn't working;
20
    correct?
21
         On video, no.
    Α
22
         And you heard the officers giving him those
23
    directives on the audio recording; yes?
24
         Yes.
    Α
25
         And is there something wrong with the way the
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
officers were communicating with him in the receiving
 1
    cell?
 2
         Could you be more specific?
 3
    Α
 4
         Do you have an opinion as to whether the officers
 5
    communicated with Mr. Kingsley in an appropriate or
 6
    inappropriate fashion in the receiving cell?
 7
         Well, relative to the disturbance resolution, it
 8
    would appear that they were using heavy control talk:
 9
    Short loud commands; trying to verbally stun,
10
   psychologically stun in the process. I felt that could
   have been a softer, lesser, more of a persuasion
11
12
   dialogue technique, a calmer technique.
         You're saying they should have used a softer
13
14
    approach in terms of their verbalization?
         I'm saying it was an option.
15
16
         Did they use heavy control talk the entire time he
   was in the receiving cell?
17
         Throughout portions of it, I would say the vast
18
19
   majority of the portions, yes.
20
         We're going to go back to the video. I'm going to
   play you the video from the hallway itself.
21
22
         (Video played)
23
         So we fast forward it until we get to the cell.
24
         There was a portion just prior to that though.
   Α
                            Somebody said "What's wrong with
25
         We'll go back up.
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
that. Was that heavy control talk or was that calm?
 1
 2
         I'm sorry, somebody said what?
   Α
 3
         What's wrong with that.
 4
         I would say that that's probably search talk,
 5
   asking a person details about how they're doing.
 6
   Q
         Okay. Continue.
 7
         (Video played)
 8
         Was that heavy control talk?
 9
         I would say that's light control talk.
   Α
10
         (Video played 6:44:25)
11
         Was that heavy control talk?
         It stopped at, I believe, one of the deputies said
12
13
    "Move your foot, Mike." But there was something
    starting right after that. (6:44:29)
14
15
         We'll play it forward.
16
         (Video played)
         Was that heavy control talk?
17
         That's heavy control talk.
18
   Α
19
         (Video played)
20
   Q
         That was heavy control talk.
         That's heavy control talk.
21
   Α
22
         (Video played)
23
         Was that heavy control talk?
24
         I would say it's light control. (6:44:57)
   Α
25
         Okay.
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
(Video played)
 2
          I know we cut off in the middle, but before that,
 3
    was that heavy controlled talk?
 4
         I would say it's continued light control.
 5
         (Video played)
 6
         That was heavy control?
    Q
 7
         Heavy control.
 8
         (Video played)
 9
         Heavy control talk?
    Q
10
    Α
         Light.
11
         (Video played)
12
         Still light control talk?
    Q
13
    Α
         Yeah.
14
         (Video played)
15
         Not control talk.
    Q
16
    Α
         Persuasion.
17
         (Video played)
18
         Why don't you tell us the next time you hear heavy
19
    control talk.
20
    Α
         Right there. (6:45:34)
         Okay.
21
    Q
22
         (Video played)
23
         I would say that's border.
24
         (Video played)
25
         That's heavy control.
                      BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
(Video played)
 2
         Heavy control.
   Α
 3
         Officers are trained that there are times when it
 4
    is appropriate to use heavy control talk; yes?
 5
         Yes.
   Α
 6
         And heavy control talk is appropriate if light
 7
    control talk fails to get the subject to cooperate or
   the situation requires immediate compliance; yes?
 8
 9
         Yes.
   Α
10
         And officers are trained that when using heavy
   control talk, their physical presence, their tone of
11
   voice, and their words all must convey intensity and
12
   commitment; correct?
13
         Correct.
14
   Α
15
         And they're also trained that they may issue
16
   ultimatums; correct?
17
   Α
        Correct.
         They are training they may issue ultimatums in
18
   which they can clearly indicate that the subject has to
19
20
   comply.
        Correct.
21
   Α
22
         POSC also teaches jailers that they should
23
   accomplish the objective of control as quickly as
24
   possible to ensure the safety of officers and inmates;
25
    correct?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
In a general concept, yes.
 1
    Α
 2
         Jail officers are trained that they should
 3
    accomplish the correctional objective of control as
 4
    quickly as possible with minimal chance of injury or
 5
    death to officers, inmates or others; correct?
 6
         That's one of the bullet points, yes.
 7
         If we could turn to page 13. Jail officers are
 8
    also trained that control is not a 50/50 proposition;
 9
    correct?
10
    Α
         Yes.
         They are trained that controls requires them to be
11
12
    in charge and that physical encounters between officers
    and inmates need not be a fair, equal contest; correct?
13
14
    Α
         Correct.
15
         They are trained that it is not a game or a
16
    sporting event; correct?
17
    Α
         Correct.
18
         And they are trained as a matter of safety, they
    are required to control the situation as quickly as
19
20
    possible to ensure their safety and the safety of
21
    others, including the subject they are trying to
22
    control; correct?
23
         Correct.
24
         They are trained that the longer a confrontation
25
    lasts, the greater the likelihood of injury to all
```

BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

```
parties; yes?
 1
 2
         Yes. If you notice, this describes the second
 3
   principle of POSC. The first principle was
   verbalization skills.
 4
 5
         Fair enough. Officers, jailers that is, are also
 6
   trained that they always have to maintain a position of
 7
   advantage with respect to the subject; correct?
 8
   Α
        Correct.
 9
         And they are trained that that means that they may
10
   escalate to a higher level of force than the inmate is
   using; correct?
11
12
   Α
        Correct.
        We talked about -- not we, you talked about some
13
14
   different types of resistance; correct?
         Yes.
15
   Α
16
         One thing you talked about was resistive tension;
   correct?
17
18
   Α
        Yes.
         And do I have it right that resistive tension would
19
20
   be something like arms being flexed?
21
         It could be, yes.
   Α
22
   Q
         Could be?
23
   Α
         Yeah.
24
         And do I have it right that resistive tension is an
25
    indicator of a potential to escalate?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
1
   Α
         Yes.
 2
         And officers are trained to take that into account
 3
   in assessing the level of threat?
 4
         Yes.
   Α
 5
         And you talked about active resistance; correct?
   Q
6
   Α
        Yes.
 7
        Active resistance or rather officers are trained
   that active resistance is when there's behavior that
8
   physically counteracts the officer's attempt to control
9
10
   and which creates a risk of bodily harm to the officer,
   subject or other person; correct?
11
12
   Α
        Correct.
        And that the training guide for law enforcement
13
14
   officers uses essentially the same definition.
        Yes.
15
   Α
16
         So two things: Physical behavior that physically
   counteracts and a risk of bodily harm; correct?
17
18
   Α
        Correct.
         If we could go to page 53. So this is -- this is a
19
20
   description of control alternatives; correct?
        Correct.
21
   Α
22
        Now this definition, which we've just talked about,
23
   does not actually -- the way it's written does not
24
   actually require -- strike that.
25
         The definition of active resistance as it's trained
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
to jailers in Wisconsin does not depend on the reason
 1
 2
   that the subject is engaging in the behavior; correct?
 3
         Could you be more specific to that?
 4
         The definition as to what constitutes active
 5
   resistance does not depend on the subject's motivation;
 6
   correct?
 7
        The definition of active resistance as it relates
8
   to bodily harm further describes the Statute 940.19,
   which is the intent to cause the bodily harm.
9
10
        What we've highlighted here and what's shown to the
    jury in this instance, that is a definition of active
11
   resistance drawn directly from the POSC training guide;
12
13
   correct?
14
         It's repeated, but you notice it does have a
15
   footnote of 17.
16
        We'll come to the footnote. I don't want to skip
   over the footnote.
17
18
   Α
        All right.
         The definition that you are seeing there is a
19
20
   definition of active resistance that officers are
21
   trained on; correct?
22
        Yes, it's a definition.
23
         And that definition does not include any qualifier
24
   that the existence of active resistance depends on the
25
   motivation of the subject; correct?
                     BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2-P-159

I would say it does, related to the portion of the Α statute that's required. There must be an intent to harm. So you're talking about the footnote? I'm talking about my knowledge of the active resistance definition is two-fold. The second part of the bodily harm directly relates in the training manuals to the statute of the intent to cause that bodily harm. Okay. But does this definition talk about intent? Well, if you talk about what is the definition of bodily harm, it does. Okay. So let's see if footnote 17 defines what bodily harm is. I am going to refer down to the bottom of the same page, 53. We'll highlight for you. This is footnote 17; correct? Α Correct. And it defines what bodily harm is; correct? At the time that this was authored it did. Okay. Well, this again is the POSC training guide that would be in place on May 21st, 2010; correct? Correct. Α And so footnote 17 that you said was important to look at defines bodily harm by reference to a state statute as "physical pain or injury, illness or any impairment of physical condition"; correct?

BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS

```
That's what the footnote is. I don't know if
 1
   Α
 2
   that's the correct statute at the time.
 3
         Okay. Well, this is what jailers, who are being
 4
   trained in Wisconsin at the time of this incident, were
 5
   told as to what bodily harm meant; correct?
 6
   Α
        Possibly.
 7
        Well, I don't understand. You've testified that
 8
   this is the POSC training guide that was in place at the
   time of the incident; correct?
 9
10
        Correct.
   Α
        And so a jailer being trained about using force in
11
   Wisconsin at that time, if they read this definition,
12
13
   footnote 17, this is what they were told as to what
   bodily harm meant; correct?
14
         I can't testify to what a jailer was told in their
15
16
   training academy.
         That's because you don't do POSC training; correct?
17
18
   Α
        Correct.
19
        Okay. But you can testify as to what the words on
20
   this page say; correct?
21
        Yeah, I can read them.
   Α
22
        And the definition here given of bodily harm does
23
   not depend upon the subject's motivation or intent;
24
   correct?
25
        Can you repeat that?
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
Sure. The definition of bodily harm that's shown
 1
 2
   here does not depend on the subject's motivation or
   intent. Am I correct?
 3
 4
        Well, it appears that this is a portion of the
 5
   statute, not the statute in entirety.
 6
         Okay. But I'm not asking that. I'm asking if the
 7
    words on the page depend on the subject's intent.
 8
   Α
        From the wording on that? No.
 9
        And that's --
   Q
10
             THE COURT: I think at this point I'm going to
   end the day. I think it's been a long and tense day.
11
   Everybody is tired. We'll start tomorrow fresh, I hope,
12
   at nine o'clock.
13
14
             MR. PARDON: Your Honor, may I have a word at
    side bar?
15
16
             THE COURT: Oh, is there a problem?
         (Discussion at side bar at 5:05 p.m.)
17
18
             MR. PARDON: I'm very, very sorry. I had no
19
    idea that Mr. Conroy --
20
             MR. JONES: I hear white noise.
21
             THE COURT: You can speak up.
22
             MR. PARDON: I'm sorry, Your Honor, but
23
   Mr. Landers cannot be here until 11 a.m. tomorrow
24
   morning. I had no idea that his testimony would go that
25
    long.
                    BRIAN LANDERS - CROSS
```

```
THE COURT: Do you have any other people you
 2
    could be calling in the morning?
 3
             MR. PARDON: We'll be resting our case after
 4
   Mr. Landers.
 5
             THE COURT: Do you have anyone you can call at
   nine o'clock?
 6
 7
             MR. JONES: We could begin our case if that's
    what Your Honor -- if that's what we need to do.
 8
    obviously would prefer not to begin our case before
 9
10
   plaintiff's case is over.
             MR. PARDON: I don't know how much longer
11
12
   you're going to be.
13
             MR. JONES: I haven't taken stock in my outline
   as to how much longer I'm going to be.
14
15
             THE COURT: You're going to rest after
16
   Mr. Landers?
             MR. PARDON: Yes.
17
             THE COURT: How long is your case going to
18
    take?
19
20
             MR. JONES: We have, I believe, three officers
21
   who will testify: Deputy Blanton, the one taking the
22
   handcuffs off, the two defendants, and then you remember
23
   we have our expert who can't be here until Wednesday
24
   morning first thing. So we would be, I guess, taking
25
    four witnesses from 11 p.m. on -- 11 a.m. on tomorrow.
```

```
THE COURT: Why don't we just start at two
   o'clock tomorrow afternoon. I've got other things
 2
    scheduled. That a problem for Mr. Landers?
 3
             MR. PARDON: I think he told me he is available
 5
   between 11 and 2:30. And he's available --
 6
             THE COURT: He is only available from 11 to 2?
            MR. PARDON: 2:30 yeah. I'm very sorry, I just
   had no idea Mr. Conroy would take so long.
 8
 9
                        That's okay. We'll start at 11.
             THE COURT:
10
            MR. JONES: Okay. Fine. Thank you.
         (End of side bar discussion at 5:08 p.m.)
11
             THE COURT: Okay. We have a few scheduling
12
13
   problems, so we will not be starting until eleven
   o'clock tomorrow, and you can plan on a late lunch
14
   because I have a number of matters I have to take care
15
16
   of over the lunch hour, other proceedings.
        And we should get well along tomorrow. Close to
17
    finishing. Okay. Not completely, we'll have a little
18
   bit on Wednesday morning. All right.
19
20
        Anything else at this time?
            MR. PARDON: No, Your Honor.
21
22
             THE COURT: Okay. Please remember not to talk
23
   about the case. Leave your notepads on your chairs.
24
         (Jury excused from courtroom at 5:10 p.m.)
25
             THE COURT: All right. Court will adjourn.
```

(Proceedings concluded at 5:10 p.m.) I, LYNETTE SWENSON, Certified Realtime and Merit 5 Reporter in and for the State of Wisconsin, certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the 6 proceedings held on the 15th day of October 2012 before the Honorable Barbara B. Crabb, District Judge for the 8 9 Western District of Wisconsin, in my presence and 10 reduced to writing in accordance with my stenographic 11 notes made at said time and place. Dated this 29th day of October 2012. 12 13 14 /s/_ 15 16 Lynette Swenson, RMR, CRR, CBC Federal Court Reporter 17 18 19 20 The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the 21 certifying reporter. 22 23 24

25